Subject: HISS - Chambers

File Number: 65-14920

Section: Vol. 51

Serial: 7012 - 7142

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
NOTICE

THE BEST COPIES OBTAINABLE ARE INCLUDED IN THE REPRODUCTION OF THE FILE. PAGES INCLUDED THAT ARE BLURRED, LIGHT OR OTHERWISE DIFFICULT TO READ ARE THE RESULT OF THE CONDITION AND OR COLOR OF THE ORIGINALS PROVIDED. THESE ARE THE BEST COPIES AVAILABLE.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SERIAL</th>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION (TYPE OF COMM, TO, FROM)</th>
<th>NO. OF PGS</th>
<th>SENT TO BUREAU</th>
<th>BUFILE NOS. DIRECTED TO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7012</td>
<td>6/18/53</td>
<td>Bureau letter to Boston</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7011</td>
<td>6/17/53</td>
<td>New York letter to Bureau</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7010</td>
<td>6/15/53</td>
<td>New York letter to Bureau</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7009</td>
<td>6/12/53</td>
<td>Boston letter to Bureau</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7008</td>
<td></td>
<td>(Missing)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7007</td>
<td>6/11/53</td>
<td>Washington Field Office letter to Bureau</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7006</td>
<td>6/9/53</td>
<td>Bureau letter to Boston</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7005</td>
<td>6/4/53</td>
<td>Memo to Special Agent in Charge, New York</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>released in full</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7004</td>
<td>6/3/53</td>
<td>Bureau routing slip to New York</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7003</td>
<td>6/4/53</td>
<td>News article</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SERIAL</td>
<td>DATE</td>
<td>DESCRIPTION (TYPE OF COMM, TO, FROM)</td>
<td>NO. OF PGS</td>
<td>SENT TO BUREAU</td>
<td>BUFILE NO. DIRECTED TO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7023</td>
<td>8/4/53</td>
<td>Richmond letter to Bureau</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7022</td>
<td>7/28/53</td>
<td>Washington Field Office letter to Bureau</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7021</td>
<td>7/20/53</td>
<td>Boston letter to Bureau</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7020</td>
<td>7/21/53</td>
<td>Bureau letter to New York</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7019A</td>
<td>7/14/53</td>
<td>Boston letter to Bureau</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7019</td>
<td>7/16/53</td>
<td>Bureau letter to Washington Field Office</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7018</td>
<td>7/9/53</td>
<td>Memo to Special Agent in Charge, New York</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>released in full</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7017</td>
<td>7/10/53</td>
<td>New York letter to Bureau</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7016</td>
<td>7/3/53</td>
<td>New Haven letter to Bureau</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7015</td>
<td>7/6/53</td>
<td>New York letter to Bureau</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7014</td>
<td>6/30/53</td>
<td>Bureau letter to New York</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7013</td>
<td>6/18/53</td>
<td>Chicago letter to Bureau</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SERIAL</td>
<td>DATE</td>
<td>DESCRIPTION (TYPE OF COMM, TO, FROM)</td>
<td>NO OF PGS</td>
<td>SENT TO BUREAU</td>
<td>BUFFER NO. DIRECTED TO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7035</td>
<td>8/4/53</td>
<td>Bureau letter to Deputy Attorney General</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7034A</td>
<td>8/19/53</td>
<td>Bureau memo to New York</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7034</td>
<td>8/1/53</td>
<td>Bulky Exhibit sheet</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>released in full</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7033</td>
<td>8/1/53</td>
<td>Bulky Exhibit sheet</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>released in full</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7032</td>
<td>8/1/53</td>
<td>Bulky Exhibit sheet</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>released in full</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7031</td>
<td>8/1/53</td>
<td>Bulky Exhibit sheet</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>released in full</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7030</td>
<td>8/1/53</td>
<td>Bulky Exhibit sheet</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>released in full</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7029</td>
<td>8/25/53</td>
<td>Bureau memo to Boston</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7027</td>
<td>8/14/53</td>
<td>New York report</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7026</td>
<td>8/13/53</td>
<td>Boston letter to Bureau</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7025</td>
<td>8/17/53</td>
<td>New York letter to Bureau</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7024</td>
<td>8/11/53</td>
<td>Bureau letter to Boston</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SERIAL</td>
<td>DATE</td>
<td>DESCRIPTION (TYPE OF COMM, TO, FROM)</td>
<td>NO OF PGS</td>
<td>SENT TO BUREAU</td>
<td>BUFILE NO. DIRECTED TO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7046A</td>
<td>9/30/53</td>
<td>Boston letter to Bureau</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7046</td>
<td>9/18/53</td>
<td>Bureau letter to Baltimore</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7045</td>
<td>9/15/53</td>
<td>Boston letter to Bureau</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7044</td>
<td>9/4/53</td>
<td>New York airtel to Bureau</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7043</td>
<td></td>
<td>Serial ident with 7027</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7042</td>
<td>9/10/53</td>
<td>Washington Field Office letter to Bureau</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7041</td>
<td>9/10/53</td>
<td>Baltimore airtel to Bureau</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7040</td>
<td>9/1/53</td>
<td>Washington Field Office letter to Bureau</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7039</td>
<td>9/1/53</td>
<td>Boston letter to Bureau</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7038</td>
<td>8/27/53</td>
<td>Washington Field Office letter to Bureau</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7037</td>
<td>8/26/53</td>
<td>Bureau memo to New York</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7036</td>
<td>8/26/53</td>
<td>Bureau memo to Baltimore</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SERIAL</td>
<td>DATE</td>
<td>DESCRIPTION (TYPE OF COMM, TO, FROM)</td>
<td>NO. OF PGS</td>
<td>SENT TO BUREAU</td>
<td>FILE NO. DIRECTED TO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7058</td>
<td>10/27/53</td>
<td>Memo to Special Agent in Charge, New York</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>released in full</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7057</td>
<td>10/27/53</td>
<td>Memo to Special Agent in Charge, New York</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>released in full</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7056</td>
<td>10/27/53</td>
<td>Memo to Special Agent in Charge, New York</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>released in full</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7055</td>
<td>9/4/53</td>
<td>Bureau memo to New York</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7054</td>
<td>10/14/53</td>
<td>Boston letter to Bureau</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7053</td>
<td>10/15/53</td>
<td>Boston letter to Bureau</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7052</td>
<td>10/5/53</td>
<td>Memo to Special Agent in Charge, New York</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>(see attached)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7051</td>
<td>10/6/53</td>
<td>Washington Field Office letter to Bureau</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>(see attached)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7050</td>
<td>10/7/53</td>
<td>Memo to Special Agent in Charge, New York</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>no</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7049</td>
<td>10/7/53</td>
<td>Memo to Special Agent in Charge, New York</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>(see attached)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7048</td>
<td>10/1/53</td>
<td>Memo to Special Agent in Charge, New York</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>(see attached)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7047</td>
<td>10/1/53</td>
<td>Washington Field Office letter to Bureau</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SERIAL</td>
<td>DATE</td>
<td>DESCRIPTION (TYPE OF COMM, TO, FROM)</td>
<td>NO OF PGS</td>
<td>SENT TO BUREAU</td>
<td>BUFFER NO. DIRECTED TO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7070</td>
<td>11/18/53</td>
<td>Washington Field Office letter to Bureau</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7069</td>
<td>11/13/53</td>
<td>New York report</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7068</td>
<td>11/12/53</td>
<td>Memo to Special Agent in Charge, New York</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>released in full</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7067</td>
<td>11/11/53</td>
<td>Philadelphia memo to New York</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>released in full</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7066</td>
<td>11/10/53</td>
<td>New York airtel to Bureau</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7065</td>
<td>11/9/53</td>
<td>New York airtel to Bureau</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7064</td>
<td>11/4/53</td>
<td>New York teletype to Bureau</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7063</td>
<td>11/4/53</td>
<td>Boston letter to Bureau</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7062</td>
<td>11/4/53</td>
<td>New York letter to Bureau</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7061</td>
<td>10/30/53</td>
<td>Memo to Special Agent in Charge, New York</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>released in full</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7060</td>
<td>10/27/53</td>
<td>Memo to Special Agent in Charge, New York</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>released in full</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7059</td>
<td>10/30/53</td>
<td>New York teletype to Bureau</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SERIAL</td>
<td>DATE</td>
<td>DESCRIPTION (TYPE OF COMM, TO, FROM)</td>
<td>NO OF PGS</td>
<td>SENT TO BUREAU</td>
<td>BUFILE NO. DIRECTED TO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7082</td>
<td>12/30/53</td>
<td>New York airtel to Bureau</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7081</td>
<td>12/28/53</td>
<td>Philadelphia letter to Bureau</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7080</td>
<td>12/18/53</td>
<td>Bureau letter to New York</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7079</td>
<td>12/14/53</td>
<td>Bureau letter to New York</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7078</td>
<td>12/14/53</td>
<td>Complaint form</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>released in full</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7077</td>
<td>12/7/53</td>
<td>Memo to Special Agent in Charge, New York</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>released in full</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7076</td>
<td>12/3/53</td>
<td>Bureau letter to Philadelphia</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7075</td>
<td>11/5/53</td>
<td>Memo to Special Agent in Charge, New York</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>released in full</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7074</td>
<td>11/4/53</td>
<td>New York memo to Bureau</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7073</td>
<td>12/3/53</td>
<td>Bureau letter to Philadelphia</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7072</td>
<td>11/24/53</td>
<td>Memo to Special Agent in Charge, New York</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>released in full</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7071</td>
<td>11/30/53</td>
<td>Washington Field Office letter to Bureau</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SERIAL</td>
<td>DATE</td>
<td>DESCRIPTION (TYPE OF COMM, TO, FROM)</td>
<td>NO OF PGS</td>
<td>SENT TO BUREAU</td>
<td>BUFFER NO. DIRECTED TO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7093</td>
<td>1/26/54</td>
<td>Charlotte Letter to Bureau</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7092</td>
<td>1/26/54</td>
<td>Boston letter to Bureau</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7091</td>
<td>1/14/54</td>
<td>Charlotte letter to Bureau</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7090</td>
<td>1/19/54</td>
<td>Letter</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>released in full</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7089</td>
<td>1/11/54</td>
<td>New York airtel to Bureau</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7088A</td>
<td>12/29/53</td>
<td>Memo to Special Agent in Charge, New York</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>released in full</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7088</td>
<td>12/28/53</td>
<td>Philadelphia memo to New York</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>released in full</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7087</td>
<td>1/12/54</td>
<td>New York airtel to Bureau</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7086</td>
<td>1/6/54</td>
<td>Washington Field Office letter to Bureau</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7085</td>
<td></td>
<td>Serial ident to 7084</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7084</td>
<td>11/13/53</td>
<td>Memo to Special Agent in Charge, New York</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>released in full</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7083</td>
<td>12/22/53</td>
<td>Baltimore letter to Bureau</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SERIAL</td>
<td>DATE</td>
<td>DESCRIPTION (TYPE OF COMM, TO, FROM)</td>
<td>NO OF PGS</td>
<td>SENT TO BUREAU</td>
<td>BUFILE NOS. DIRECTED TO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7105</td>
<td>3/10/54</td>
<td>Philadelphia letter to Bureau</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7104</td>
<td>3/5/54</td>
<td>Philadelphia letter to Bureau</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7103</td>
<td>2/19/54</td>
<td>Bureau letter to Boston</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7102</td>
<td>2/21/54</td>
<td>Baltimore letter to Bureau</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7101</td>
<td>2/16/54</td>
<td>Bureau memo to Baltimore</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7100</td>
<td>2/16/54</td>
<td>New York airtel to Bureau</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7099</td>
<td>2/16/54</td>
<td>Bureau letter to Baltimore</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7098</td>
<td>2/11/54</td>
<td>Bureau letter to Boston</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7097</td>
<td>2/11/54</td>
<td>Washington Field Office letter to Bureau</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7096</td>
<td>2/9/54</td>
<td>Boston letter to Bureau</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7095</td>
<td>2/5/54</td>
<td>Bureau letter to Charlotte</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7094</td>
<td>2/5/54</td>
<td>Baltimore letter to Bureau</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SERIAL</td>
<td>DATE</td>
<td>DESCRIPTION (TYPE OF COMM, TO, FROM)</td>
<td>NO. OF PGS</td>
<td>SENT TO BUREAU</td>
<td>BUFILE NO. DIRECTED TO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7117</td>
<td>5/7/54</td>
<td>New York airtel to Bureau</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7116</td>
<td>5/3/54</td>
<td>Baltimore letter to Bureau</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7115</td>
<td>4/27/54</td>
<td>Bureau letter to New York</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7114</td>
<td>4/22/54</td>
<td>Bureau letter to Baltimore</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7113</td>
<td>4/2/54</td>
<td>Houston letter to Bureau</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7112</td>
<td>4/15/54</td>
<td>New York teletype to Bureau</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7111</td>
<td></td>
<td>Transferred to News clips sub file</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7110</td>
<td></td>
<td>Transferred to News clips sub file</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7109</td>
<td>4/15/54</td>
<td>New York memo (see card index)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7108</td>
<td>3/24/54</td>
<td>Philadelphia letter to Bureau</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7107</td>
<td>3/24/54</td>
<td>New York letter to Bureau</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7106</td>
<td>3/22/54</td>
<td>New York airtel to Bureau</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SERIAL</td>
<td>DATE</td>
<td>DESCRIPTION (TYPE OF COMM, TO, FROM)</td>
<td>NO. OF PGS</td>
<td>SENT TO BUREAU</td>
<td>BUFILE NO. DIRECTED TO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7129</td>
<td>6/24/54</td>
<td>Letter to New York</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>(see attachment)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7128</td>
<td>6/23/54</td>
<td>New York airtel to Bureau</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7127</td>
<td>6/23/54</td>
<td>Western Union</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>released in full</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7126</td>
<td>6/17/54</td>
<td>Albany report</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7125</td>
<td>5/14/54</td>
<td>New York report</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7124</td>
<td>5/27/54</td>
<td>New York teletype to Bureau</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7123</td>
<td>5/24/54</td>
<td>Memo to Special Agent in Charge, New York</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>released in full</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7122</td>
<td>5/7/54</td>
<td>Complaint form</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>released in full</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7121</td>
<td>5/27/54</td>
<td>Memo to Special Agent in Charge, New York</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>released in full</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7120</td>
<td></td>
<td>Serial transferred into news clips sub file</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7119</td>
<td>4/29/54</td>
<td>Boston letter to Bureau</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7118</td>
<td>5/13/54</td>
<td>New York letter to Bureau</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SERIAL</td>
<td>DATE</td>
<td>DESCRIPTION (TYPE OF COMM, TO, FROM)</td>
<td>NO OF PGS</td>
<td>SENT TO BUREAU</td>
<td>BUFILE NOS. DIRECTED TO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7141</td>
<td>9/9/54</td>
<td>Pittsburgh letter to Bureau</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7140</td>
<td>undated</td>
<td>Letter</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>released in full</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7139</td>
<td>8/3/54</td>
<td>Memo to Special Agent in Charge, New York</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>(see attached)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7138</td>
<td>5/25/54</td>
<td>Baltimore report</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7137</td>
<td>10/5/54</td>
<td>New York airtel to Bureau</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7136</td>
<td>9/2/54</td>
<td>Bureau memo to New York</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7135</td>
<td>8/31/54</td>
<td>New York letter to Bureau</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7134</td>
<td>8/31/54</td>
<td>New York report</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7133</td>
<td>9/2/54</td>
<td>Newspaper article</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>released in full</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7132</td>
<td>8/19/54</td>
<td>New York airtel to Bureau</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7131</td>
<td>8/5/54</td>
<td>New York memo to Special Agent In Charge</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>(see attached)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7130</td>
<td>6/17/54</td>
<td>Baltimore letter to Bureau</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SERIAL</td>
<td>DATE</td>
<td>DESCRIPTION (TYPE OF COMM, TO, FROM)</td>
<td>NO OF PGS</td>
<td>SENT TO BUREAU</td>
<td>BUFILE NO. DIRECTED TO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7152</td>
<td>11/18/54</td>
<td>Boston report</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7151</td>
<td>11/12/54</td>
<td>Bureau letter to Baltimore</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7150</td>
<td>11/1/54</td>
<td>Bureau letter to Pittsburgh, New York, Baltimore</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7149A</td>
<td>10/27/54</td>
<td>Baltimore letter to Bureau</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7149</td>
<td>10/25/54</td>
<td>Bureau letter to New York</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7148</td>
<td>10/12/54</td>
<td>Philadelphia letter to Bureau</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7147</td>
<td>10/22/54</td>
<td>New York airtel to Bureau</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7146</td>
<td>10/18/54</td>
<td>New York letter to Bureau</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7145</td>
<td>9/22/54</td>
<td>Bureau letter to New York</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7144</td>
<td>10/18/54</td>
<td>New York letter to Bureau</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7143</td>
<td>10/13/54</td>
<td>Bureau letter to Baltimore</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7142</td>
<td>9/29/54</td>
<td>Baltimore letter to Bureau</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doc. No.</td>
<td>Serial No.</td>
<td>Description of Document</td>
<td>Pages Actual Rel.</td>
<td>Deletion(s)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7048</td>
<td></td>
<td>Memo to NY 10/1/53</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Page 1: Names and file numbers of third parties not considered pertinent to the request.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Interview of Elizabeth Bently: (9 pages) withheld in entirety as interview concerns third parties and various foundations not pertinent to the request.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7049</td>
<td></td>
<td>Memo to NY 10/7/53</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Page 1: Names and file numbers of third parties and various organizations not considered pertinent to the request.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Interview of Louis Budenz: (8 pages) withheld in full with the exception of page 6. All material deleted concerns third parties and various foundations not pertinent to the request.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7050</td>
<td></td>
<td>Memo to NY 10/7/53</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Page 1: Names and file numbers of third parties and various organizations not relevant to the request.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Interview of Bella Dodd: (4 pages) withheld in full with the exception of page 5. All material deleted concerns third parties and various foundations not pertinent to the request.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7052</td>
<td></td>
<td>Memo to SAC, NY 10/5/53</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>Pages 1, 2, 3: Names and file numbers of third parties and various organizations not considered pertinent to the request.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Interview of Maurice Milkin: (11 pages) withheld in entirety with the exception of pages 9, 10. All material deleted concerns third parties and various foundations not pertinent to the request.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7129</td>
<td></td>
<td>Letter to NY 6/24/54</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Name of subject of investigation deleted from heading and paragraph 2.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Name and identifying information of confidential source withheld from paragraph 2.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Names and file numbers of third parties implicated by source not pertinent to request.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOC. NO.</td>
<td>SERIAL NO.</td>
<td>DESCRIPTION OF DOCUMENT</td>
<td>PAGES ACTUAL REL.</td>
<td>DELETION(S)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 7131    | NY memo to SAC | 8/9/54 | 2 | Page 1, paragraphs 1, 5: Name and file number of confidential source.  
Page 2, paragraph 1, 2, 3, 4, 6: Name of confidential source.  
Page 2, paragraph 3: Name and identifying information of a second confidential source.  
Page 2, paragraph 5: Name and identifying information of a third confidential informant. Also, symbol number of confidential source. |
| 7139    | Memo to SAC, NY | 8/3/54 | 1 | Paragraph 1, 2, 4: Name of confidential source.  
Paragraph 2: Information denied which would identify the source.  
Name of source and file number omitted from bottom of report |

**EXEMPTION(S)***

- (b) (7) (D)
- (b) (2)
- (b) (7) (D)
- (b) (7) (D)
- (b) (7) (D)
- (b) (2)
TO:
Saw, New York
FROM:
SA John H. Danon, Jr.

SUBJECT:
JAHAM: Espionage - Russian (65-14920)

On June 2, 1953 Mr. Rudolph Halley, President, New York City Council, was interviewed by the writer regarding a Mr. Sigurd Timberg (133-829). Mr. Halley in the course of the interview noted that Mr. Timberg in the early thirties had been employed by the A.A.A. and possibly knew Alger Hiss, who was later convicted of perjury in New York City. Mr. Halley stated that he did not know if Timberg knew Alger Hiss.

He commented regarding Hiss that after Hiss had appeared before the House Committee in 1948-49 he had been requested by the Acheson law firm of Washington, D.C. to look into the case with a view to representing Hiss. Mr. Halley stated that the Acheson law firm sent him a transcript of Hiss's testimony before the House Committee. He declared that he studied this and came to the conclusion that Hiss was either lying or had gotten himself so involved that no lawyer could help. He notified them that he would not take the case. He stated that from what he read in the newspapers there could not be any doubt as to the guilt of Hiss. He also noted that he was not the only attorney who was approached with regard to taking the Hiss case. He also pointed out that his proposed engagement was due to his experience with Congressional committees.

Mr. Halley requested that this information be kept confidential.
During January and February, 1952, JOHN LAUTNER testified as a government witness in public hearings held in Washington, D.C. by the Subversive Activities Control Board for the purpose of determining whether the CPUSA is a "Communist action" organization within the purview of the Internal Security Act of 1950.

Photostatic copies of the transcript of his testimony have been placed in the New York Office files. The exact date of particular testimony may be determined from the following table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pages</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9154-9292</td>
<td>1/21/52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9293-9417</td>
<td>1/22/52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9418-9537</td>
<td>1/23/52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>953c-9645</td>
<td>1/24/52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9646-9776</td>
<td>2/ 6/52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9777-9916</td>
<td>2/ 7/52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9917-10082</td>
<td>2/11/52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10083-10092</td>
<td>2/12/52</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Where the transcript contained extensive legal argument, as distinguished from actual testimony, those pages were omitted.

Information contained therein relative to captioned case will be found in 134-66, 1B 115; pg. 9569, 95-70 thru 9575, 9950.
BULKY EXHIBIT - INVENTORY OF PROPERTY ACQUIRED AS EVIDENCE

File #: 74-1130

New York Field Division

Date: 6/2/53

Title and Character of Case: JAM ESPIAONAGE - R1 PERJURY

Date Property Acquired: 6/1/49, for use in trial

Source From Which Property Acquired: Randall H. Hegner Co., Washington, D.C.

Location of Property or Bulky Exhibit: Rm. 433, US Court House

Reason for Retention of Property and Efforts Made to Dispose of Same: Awaiting completion of sentence of Alger Hiss

Description of Property or Exhibit and Identity of Agent Submitting Same: Rent application card of Randall H. Hegner Co., Washington, D.C.

Field File #: 65-11,920

Sec. 2

W-147-90-7030
BULKY EXHIBIT - INVENTORY OF PROPERTY ACQUIRED AS EVIDENCE

File: 74-1333

File and Character of Case: JANAM ESPIONAGE - R3 PERJURY

Date Property Acquired: 1/6/49, search warrant served by Albany Office

Source From Which Property Acquired: Felix August Insliman

Location of Property or Bulky Exhibit: Rm. 433, US Court House

Reason for Retention of Property and Efforts Made to Dispose of Same: Now Gov't. exhibit. Awaiting move by Gov't. to confiscate.

Description of Property or Exhibit and Identity of Agent Submitting Same: Leica camera and case.
BULKY EXHIBIT - INVENTORY OF PROPERTY ACQUIRED AS EVIDENCE

New York Field Division

File: 74-1333

Date: 9/7/52

Title and Character of Case: JANAM ESPIONAGE - RJ PERJURY

Date Property Acquired: 11/9/49; use in trial

Source From Which Property Acquired: Whittaker Chambers

Location of Property or Bulky Exhibit: Rm. 433, US Court House

Reason for Retention of Property and
Efforts Made to Dispose of Same:

Awaiting completion of sentence of
Alger Hiss

Description of Property or Exhibit and
Identity of Agent Submitting Same:

Passport of David Broen.

1 - KY 66-6649

Field File #: 65-111920

Sec. 1

65-11/920-7037
BULKY EXHIBIT - INVENTORY OF PROPERTY ACQUIRED AS EVIDENCE

File: 74-2332

Title and Character of Case: JAVAAN

ESPIIONAGE - R1 PERJURY

Date Property Acquired: 2/9/49; to be used as evidence at trial

Source From Which Property Acquired: Mass. Importing Co., NYC

Location of Property or Bulky Exhibit: Rm. 433, US Court House

Reason for Retention of Property and Efforts Made to Dispose of Same: Waiting completion of sentence of Alger Hiss

Description of Property or Exhibit and Identity of Agent Submitting Same: Check for $600 drawn by Meyer Shapiro to Mass. Importing Co., dated 12/21/36

1 - NY 66-6649

Field File #: 65-11920
Sec. 1
BULKY EXHIBIT - INVENTORY OF PROPERTY ACQUIRED AS EVIDENCE

File: 74-1333

New York Field Division

6/2/53 Date

Title and Character of Case: JAHAM ESPIONAGE - R/PERJURY

Date Property Acquired: 12/5/48; possible use as evidence in trial.

Source From Which Property Acquired: Dept. of Justice

Location of Property or Bulky Exhibit: Rm. 433, US Court House

Reason for Retention of Property and Efforts Made to Dispose of Same: Awaiting completion of sentence of Alger Hiss

Description of Property or Exhibit and Identity of Agent Submitting Same: 2 rolls of 16 mm. film

Field File #: 65-14920

Sec. 1
OFFICE MEMORANDUM

TO: SAC, NY

FROM: JOSEPH V. WATERS, SA (100-113307)

DATE: 10/1/53

SUBJECT: USE OF BENEVOLENT TRUST FUNDS, PHILANTHROPIC AND ELEMOSINARY INSTITUTIONS TO IMPLEMENT THE PROGRAMS OF SUBVERSIVE GROUPS IS - C

By letter dated 2/24/53 entitled as above, the Bureau furnished photostatic copies of memoranda prepared by the House of Representatives Select Committee to Investigate Foundations and Other Organizations reflecting interviews with Committee witnesses.
MEMO:
NY 100-113307

10/1/53

In reporting material in these memoranda the Bureau has instructed that it be attributed to the witnesses. The source memoranda should be referred to only by confidential symbol.

Attached is a copy of a memorandum of an interview with ELIZABETH T. BENTLEY conducted on 11/13/52.
Office Memorandum - UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

To: SAC, New York

From: JOSEPH V. WATERS, SA (100-113307)

Subject: USE OF BENEVOLENT TRUST FUNDS, PHILANTROPIES AND ELEVENOSYNARY INSTITUTIONS TO IMPLEMENT THE PROGRAMS OF SUBVERSIVE GROUPS 16-C

Date: 10/7/53

By letter dated 2/24/53 entitled as above, the Bureau furnished photostatic copies of memoranda prepared by the House of Representatives Select Committee to Investigate Foundations and Other Organizations, reflecting interviews with Committee witnesses.

In reporting material in these memoranda, the Bureau has instructed that it be attributed to the witnesses. The source memoranda should be referred to only by confidential symbol.

Attached is a copy of a memorandum of an interview with LOUIS BUDENZ conducted on 11/1/52.

JW: POL
Regarding Alger Hiss, Professor Budenz suggested that we see his testimony before the House Un-American Activities Committee. He advised the writer that he knew Hiss as a Communist from official communications. Professor Budenz also told the writer this additional item, which he has not previously reported to any other investigative unit: Besides knowing Hiss as a Communist through official communications, Professor Budenz stated that he met Hiss somewhere under another name as a Communist. He does not recall where it was or what the name was that Hiss used. However, he is certain of the accuracy of his memory regarding the fact itself. In fact, Mr. Budenz told the writer that during the Hiss trial in New York, Budenz and his wife were having dinner at Longchamps Restaurant not far from St. Patrick's Cathedral. Suddenly, he noticed a familiar face in the dining room. It was Alger Hiss. Budenz knew then that he had seen Hiss before as a Communist under another name. Furthermore, their eyes met on this occasion and it was Budenz's distinct impression that Hiss recognized him from their prior meeting, although neither one made any outward movement to greet the other.
TO: SAC, NY

FROM: JOSEPH V. WATERS, SA (100-113307)

SUBJECT: USE OF BENEVOLENT TRUST FUNDS, PHILANTHROPIES AND ELEEMOSTINARY INSTITUTIONS TO IMPLEMENT THE PROGRAMS OF SUBVERSIVE GROUPS IS - C

By letter dated 2/24/53, entitled as above, the Bureau furnished photostatic copies of memoranda prepared by the House of Representatives Select Committee to Investigate Foundations and Other Organizations, reflecting interviews with Committee witnesses.

In reporting material in these memoranda, the Bureau has instructed that it be attributed to the witnesses. The source memoranda should be referred to only by confidential symbol.

Attached is a copy of a memorandum of an interview with BELLA DODD conducted on 11/7/52.
Regarding Alger Hiss, she stated that he was a part of the Juridical Association which was a small, closely knit, organization run by Carol King and Joseph Brodsky, both members of the Communist Party. The Juridical Association was used to get out material to support left-wing projects. Dr. Dodd stated that she would be very surprised if there were anyone in it who were not communists.
OFFICE MEMORANDUM

To: SAC, NY

From: JOSEPH V. WATERS, SA (100-113307)

Subject: USE OF BENEVOLENT TRUST FUNDS, PHILANTHROPIC AND ELEemosynary INSTITUTIONS TO IMPLEMENT THE PROGRAMS OF SUBVERSIVE GROUPS

Date: 10/5/53

By letter dated 2/24/53 entitled as above, the Bureau furnished photostatic copies of memoranda prepared by the House of Representatives Select Committee to Investigate Foundations and Other Organizations, reflecting interviews with Committee witnesses.

(COPIES CONT'D ON NEXT PAGE)
In reporting material in these memoranda, the Bureau has instructed that it be attributed to the witnesses. The source memoranda should be referred to only by confidential symbol.

Attached is a copy of a memorandum of an interview with MAURICE MILKIN conducted on 11/13/52.
Since Alger Hiss was a member of the International Juridical Association, Mr. Malkin was asked about him but he stated he had no personal knowledge of Hiss. Mr. Malkin stated that some non-Communists were let into the Association.
but it was strictly a Communist front organization. Malkin said that the International Juridical Association later became known as the National Lawyers Guild in 1935 or 1936. Judge Ferdinand Pecora resigned later from the National Lawyers Guild and described it as a Communist front organization.
SAC, New York

B. THOMAS J. McANDREW (65-16382)

SEMÖFFEL

ESP. R.

10/27/53

At 5:30 P.M., 10/26/53, AUSA James B. Kilsheimer, Ill, requested Supervisor Thomas C. Spencer for assistance in locating government exhibit 20 for identification in the HISS case. This exhibit is a handwriting specimen of RAINER DEUTSCH. When questioned as to the purpose for locating this document, Mr. Kilsheimer advised that he had a request from USA J. Edward Lumbar, SDNY, for the material. No commitment was made concerning the production of this exhibit.

For the information of the SAC, Exhibit 20 is located in the U. S. Court House in the custody of the United States Attorney, together with the other HISS exhibits and material. I furnished this information to Inspector Carl Henrich, Bureau, at 6:00 P.M., 10/26/53, in an effort to determine whether or not Mr. Henrich might have any information indicating what might be behind the request. It is to be noted that all litigation in the HISS case has been completed for a considerable period of time. Mr. Henrich advised he had no specific idea why AUSA Kilsheimer might have requested this material and I told him we were endeavoring to find out the reason for the request, particularly since, probably, our Agents were the only ones who could locate it in the United States Attorney's Office. I felt that, possibly, the reason for the request would be forthcoming before the exhibit was found.

On the morning of 10/27/53, at 8:55 A.M., I contacted Inspector Henrich and mentioned to him the article appearing in the NY Times, p. 18, 10/27/53, in which the activities of the McCarthy Committee on 10/26/53, are set forth. This article states that an unnamed civilian section head at the Evans Signal Laboratory had testified on 10/26/53. This individual, it has been ascertained, is HANS INSLERMANN, a brother of FELIX AUGUST INSLERMANN. In the body of the article, a reference is made to an engineer photographer who specialized in microfilm and who is described as "close" to the unnamed engineer who testified on 10/26/53. This engineer photographer is FELIX AUGUST INSLERMANN. I read the entire article to Mr. Henrich. A copy of the article is attached hereto. Mr. Henrich asked if I believed Mr. Kilsheimer's request was based on a desire to furnish the exhibit to ROY COHN, Chief Counsel of the McCarthy Committee. I told him I did not know but that it was a possibility.

CC: NY File 65-14920 (ALGIER HISS)

TJM:MYR

65-14920-7046
In response to Mr. Henrich's specific question, I told him Mr. Kilheimer had advised he was making the request for this exhibit in order that he might furnish this document to USA Lumber. Mr. Henrich said he contemplated calling the Department this morning to ascertain the basis for the request and that he would call this office and furnish us with whatever information develops. In the meantime, in the event Mr. Kilheimer calls, this office will advise him that the exhibit is not in our possession and is probably in the custody of the U. S. Attorney. We will endeavor to defer any activity in locating this document until Mr. Henrich can furnish us with the results of his inquiry in Washington.
Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO: SAC, NY
FROM: SA THOMAS C. SPENCER (65-11920)
SUBJECT: JAHAM

DATE: 10/27/53

On instructions of Inspector Henrich, SA Lawrence H. Bracken and the writer visited the office of USA Kilsheimer at 11:30 A.M. today. We were informed that Mr. Kilsheimer was out of the office "on official business" and would not return until sometime in the early afternoon of 10/27/53. In the meantime, SAs John J. Danahy, Bracken and the writer ascertained through Miss Franz, who has charge of the exhibits in the U.S. Court House, that HISS Exhibit 20 for identification was intact and there was no appearance, so far as could be ascertained, that Kilsheimer or anyone else found this particular exhibit.

At 2:40 P.M., SA Bracken and the writer interviewed Mr. Kilsheimer in his office at Foley Square and he was informed that this office and the Bureau in Washington were very much concerned as to whether any new litigation was forthcoming in the ALGER HISS case. The writer pointed out that from his experience, any new litigation would in all probability be initiated by the defense, and that since we had no information that such was the case, the Bureau in Washington was extremely desirous to know if any litigation was in progress so that the Bureau in turn could inform the Department.

Mr. Kilsheimer stated that the only thing that he knows about instant matter is that on the evening of 10/26/53 he was called to the office of USA Lombard and was requested to locate and turn over to him, Lombard, Exhibit 20 for identification. Mr. Kilsheimer stated that Mr. Lombard in no way apprised him of the reason why he, Lombard, wanted this exhibit.

Thereafter, SA Danahy was located in the building and he obtained the keys to the vault from Miss Franz, went to the vault, located the exhibit, and turned it over to the writer.

At approximately 2:30 P.M., 10/27/53, SA Bracken and the writer went to the office of Mr. Kilsheimer and turned over Exhibit 20, which consists of seven pages of handwritten material, known to be in the handwriting of Harry Dexter White (deceased), to Mr. Kilsheimer. The writer informed Mr. Kilsheimer that this particular exhibit had not been offered in evidence but was merely marked for identification. He was further informed that this particular item was voluntarily turned over to agents of the Bureau by Mr. Chambers and that the U.S. Attorney had some obligation relative to this material if it should be made public; that the Bureau was vitally interested in seeing that the exhibit was handled in the proper manner so that no embarrassment could come to the Bureau by its disclosure to the public; and that in all probability the U.S. Attorney's office had some responsibility in seeing that this exhibit was handled properly.

TOS: EC

DAV

SEARCHED INDEXED
SERIALIZED FILED
OCT 28 15:53
FBI - NEW YORK
The writer informed Mr. Kilheimer that when he turned this exhibit over to Mr. Lumbard that the writer was very anxious to receive a call from Mr. Lumbard indicating the use to which Mr. Lumbard was going to put to this exhibit, so that we in turn could advise the Bureau and the Bureau in turn could advise the Department. Mr. Kilheimer remarked that Mr. Lumbard had his own way of doing things and whether he would honor the writer's request was, of course, not known to Mr. Kilheimer.

SA Bracken and the writer returned to the NTO at approximately 4:00 p.m., at which time the writer spoke to Supervisor McAndrews. Mr. McAndrews stated that in the meantime he had received instructions from Inspector Hennrich to communicate with Mr. Lumbard to determine definitely from him just why he wanted this exhibit and what he expected to do with it.
TO: L/C New York
    Attn: St. Thomas Spencer, Section 1

FROM: SA William A. Flynn, Jr.
      RA White Plains N.Y.

SUBJECT: ALGER HISS
      Espionage R

65-14920

There was no record of ALFRED L. LEHMAN at the Westchester Credit Bureau,
White Plains N.Y.

At the County Trust Co, Pleasantville, N.Y. Horace HOULF, Vice President
confidentially advised Mr. Robert L. Stevenson and the reporting agent of the
following info.

  ALFRED L. LEHMAN HAS HAD AN ACCOUNT AT THE WHITE PLAINS BRANCH OF THE
COUNTY TRUST CO SINCE JUNE 16, 1926. HER PRESENT BALANCE IS $3176.14. THE
BANK DOES NOT KNOW WHETHER OR NOT SHE IS A RELATIVE OF SENATOR LEHMAN. HER
ADDRESS IS 45 FAST 70TH STREET, NEW YORK CITY.
At 5:00 P.M., 10/27/53, the writer attempted to reach USA J. Edward Lombard, SDNY, but was advised that Mr. Lombard was then at his residence. The writer subsequently talked to Mr. Lombard at the latter's residence and he was informed that this office had been requested to assist in the location of Exhibit 20 for identification in the HISS case. Mr. Lombard was informed that this request had come from the office of AUSA James B. Kilheimer, III, and that this afternoon, we had obtained the exhibit and turned it over to Mr. Kilheimer.

Mr. Lombard was then asked if this was an indication that there was some new litigation in the HISS case and, if so, if there was anything that this office might do to assist him. Mr. Lombard replied that he had merely wanted to see the exhibit and that, since he had not as yet seen it, he could give me no further information. He stated that after he had read this exhibit and studied it, he would keep this office advised as to any action he contemplated taking.

CC: MY File 65-16382 (SEMONTHEL)

TGS: MFB

[Handwritten note:]

Herman advised above & indicated no further action necessary—5:25p.m. 10/27/53

[Signature:]

[Instructions:]
SEARCHED........... INDEXED....
SERIALIZED........... FILED
OCT 27 1953
181 - NEW YORK
Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO: SAC, New York
FROM: THOMAS G. SPENCER, SA (65-14920)
SUBJECT: ESPIONAGE - R

DATE: 10/30/53

At 3:30 p.m. this date AUSA JAMES KILSHEIMER called. He stated that he had just come from a conference with USA LUMBARD, ROBERT BENJAMIN and CHESTER LANE, the latter two being the present counsels for ALGER HISS.

LANE and BENJAMIN stated they had examined the 1/26/53 Congressional Record in which Vice-President NIXON had read into the record what purported to be exhibit #20 for identification in the HISS case (the HARRY DEXTER WHITE papers). They stated that if the information appearing in the Congressional Record is an accurate report of the contents of the HARRY DEXTER WHITE Papers, that this is a matter which would tear down the credibility of WHITTAKER CHAMBERS. They wanted to examine exhibit #20 for identification and proofread it against the material in the Congressional record. They stated that if this is an accurate transcription, they intend to file a motion to set aside the verdict against ALGER HISS under Section 2225 of the Penal Code.

This section, according to KILSHEIMER, is a general section that is used in attempts to set aside judgments where the time for filing a motion to set aside the judgment on newly discovered evidence has passed.

According to KILSHEIMER, Mr. LUMBARD stated that he, of course, was not familiar with the HISS case nor were any of the Assistants presently in his office; that he would have to review the record of the HISS case before he could make any decision as to whether or not he would make this piece of evidence available to the defense attorneys. According to KILSHEIMER, LUMBARD did not exhibit the HARRY DEXTER WHITE Papers to either BENJAMIN or LANE.

Mr. KILSHEIMER and an Agent of this office are presently in the Court House at Foley Square proofreading the HARRY DEXTER WHITE Papers against the Congressional record. KILSHEIMER added...
that in all probability Mr. LUMBARD would not take any action in this matter until he had conferred with Federal Judge HENRY GODDARD who handled the HISS case. It also appears that Agents from this office familiar with the case would probably have to have one or more conferences with LUMBARD, KILSHEIMER or whoever he assigns this case to in the very near future.

The transcript of the second trial on which the appeal is based is being reviewed so that all pertinent questions and answers concerning this particular exhibit may be set forth for the Bureau's attention as well as for the assistance of the USA.

At the conclusion I asked Mr. KILSHEIMER if probably Mr. LUMBARD had received a phone call several days ago from one of the defense attorneys which brought about the USA Office's call for this particular exhibit and KILSHEIMER stated that although he was not sure he believed that this was a fact.
On 10/26/53, Mr. GEORGE W. HUMPHREY, Warden, U.S. Penitentiary, Lewisburg, Pa., advised confidentially that a postal card postmarked 10/23/53 was received at the penitentiary addressed to ALGER HISS, an inmate. He stated this card, which was typewritten, contained the following message:

"Headquarters Daily Worker

October 23, 1953

Fellow Traveller:

Everything humanely possible is being done for your release as we are sorely in need of you personally for "Propaganda Purposes."

Mother Country Russia has the A & H bombs thanks to you & Mr. and Mrs. ROSENBERGS, we are now ready to do real business here.

That Louse McCARTHY is ruining us by throwing our informants out of Gov. service.

Fellow Traveller & Brother member J.J.K"
Office Memorandum  •  UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO: SAC, NY
FROM: SA THOMAS G. SPENCER (65-11920)
SUBJECT: JAHAM
       PERJURY
       ESPIONAGE - R

DATE: 11/12/53

The "NY Daily News" of 11/10/53 carried a story datelined at Charleston, S.C. by Governor JAMES BYRNEs, former Secretary of State. This article dealt with information he had received in Washington re HARRY DEXTER WHITE.

The writer called Inspector Hemrich at the Bureau on the afternoon of 11/10/53 and referred him to NYlet of 11/15/49 captioned "JAHAM: Interview With Former Associate Justice JAMES BYRNEs", and pointed out to him the information appearing on pages 6 and 7, which appeared almost identical with the information in the article written by BYRNEs.
Office Memorandum

TO: SAC, New York

FROM: TRENWITH S. BASFORD, SA (65-14920)

DATE: 11/24/53

SUBJECT: ALGER HISS
PERJURY;
ESPIONAGE - R

Reference is made to Boston letter to Bureau and NY dated 9/15/53.

ARTHUR TRAVIS, Vice President, First National Bank of Poughkeepsie, Poughkeepsie, NY, advised that the Vassar Branch of the First National Bank had an account in the name of ANNE WINSLow and Mrs. ANNE ROGERS WINSLow. He advised that this account had been opened a number of years ago prior to the time that the bank had been taken over by the First National Bank. He stated that he could not be certain as to some of the records, but that it would appear that this was a joint account of ANNE WINSLow and of a Mrs. ANNE ROGERS WINSLow, and that the two persons are not identical.

Mr. TRAVIS advised that on many occasions Vassar College students will open an account at the Vassar Branch during the time they are attending college. He advised that they often continue banking with that branch subsequent to the time they leave college.

He advised that his records reflect that in 1938 the files reflect any address of 12 East 97th St., NYC. In 1941 an address of 314 Prospect St., New Haven, Connecticut was the apparent address of Mrs. WINSLow. The records reflect the address of 313 St. Ronan St., New Haven, Connecticut. The notation of November 7, 1945 appears in connection with this latter address.

Mr. TRAVIS advised that at the present time correspondence is sent to 70 East 96th Street, NY, NY. He advised that the records do not disclose whether this is the address of ANNE WINSLow or of Mrs. ANNE ROGERS WINSLow.
MEMO
NY 65-14920
11/24/53

MARGARET WAGNER, Alumni Office, Vassar College, Poughkeepsie, NY, advised that records in her possession reflect that ANNE WINSLOW was graduated from Vassar College in 1930. Files in Miss WAGNER's possession reflect that in 1944 Miss WINSLOW was a Lieutenant in the WACS and that at that time her permanent mailing address was 314 Prospect Street, New Haven, Connecticut. The file reflects that in September 1945 she was with the US Army in Germany. This information was furnished by Mrs. WINSLOW, (initials not discernible) mother of ANNE WINSLOW who resided at 313 St. Ronan St., New Haven, Connecticut.

A notation appears in the records in the possession of Miss WAGNER that reflect that on June 15, 1951 ANNE WINSLOW's residence was 70 East 96th Street, NY, NY, and that she was an editor of the "Carnegie Endowment for International Peace".

Records in Miss WAGNER's possession reflect that a Doctor C. E. A. WINSLOW, 313 St. Ronan St., New Haven, Connecticut, is the father of ANNE WINSLOW. He is a Professor Emeritus of Public Health at Yale University.

The file further reflects a notation that in 1938 or 1939 Miss ANNE WINSLOW was a Secretary at the School of American Ballet.

Inasmuch as the Vassar College Alumni records reflect that ANNE WINSLOW is connected with the Carnegie Endowment for International Peace, no further investigation will be conducted by the writer inasmuch as it would appear that Miss WINSLOW's contact with ALGER HISS would arrive through their work at the Carnegie Endowment.

Period of investigation is 11/4/53.
TO: SAC
FROM: SA John J. Danahy
SUBJECT: Alger Hiss
PERJURY; ESP. R.
FILE NO: 65-14920

DATE: 11/1/33
"Unavailable Section"

Section 87c, 3b(4), Manual of Instructions, directed the description and photograph should be placed on the reverse side of the Security Index Card. In regard to the photograph, the most recent one should be used if there is a choice. A review of the subject's file reflects there is - is not - a photograph of the above-captioned subject available for placing with the Security Index Card. (If more than one photograph is available, the Agent handling the investigation should indicate the photograph to be used.)

A review of the subject's file also reflects the following to be the most complete and current description of the subject, which description should be placed on the reverse side of the Security Index card at the time it is made up:

(1) Name and Aliases: Alger Hiss

(2) Sex: MALE

(3) Color (race): WHITE

(4) Age: 49

(5) Residence: Northeastern Penitentiary
Lewisburg, PA.

(6) Height: 6'0"

(7) Weight: 154 lb.

(8) Build: SLENDR.

(9) Hair: DARK BROWN

(10) Eyes: BLUE

(11) Complexion: FAIR
(12) Scars and Marks: **NONE KNOWN**

(13) Peculiarities: **WALKS WITH A "MINING" WALK.**

(14) Occupation: **FORMER ATTORNEY - GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEE**

(15) Marital Status: **MARRIED**

(16) Immediate Relatives (including the number of minor children):

- **Pricilla Hiss (wife)**
- **Miss Anna Hiss (sister)**
- **Donald Hiss (brother)**
- **Anthony Hiss, age 12, (son)**

(17) Nationality: **AMERICAN**

(18) Country of Birth: **U.S.**

(19) Fingerprint Classification: **5ITA 10 14**

- **1R 010**

(20) FBI or Police Number:

(21) Criminal Record, showing sources:

- **BFD, N.Y. 12/20/46. Perjury. 3 yrs.**

(22) Social Security Number:

(23) Seamen's Certificate Number:

Check following:

Should subject be tabbed for Detcom ( ), Comsab ( ).
On the morning of 12/7/53, Inspector HENNICH called and wanted to know how Exhibit No. 17 (the RAY MURPHY notes) was introduced into evidence in the second HISS trial.

I obtained a copy of the transcript of the record and found that on page 307 of the record, WALTER H. ANDERSON, the Records Control Officer of the State Department, had been subpoenaed by the defense and was requested to turn over to the court certain notes and records of interviews had between RAYMOND E. MURPHY of the State Department and WHITTAKER CHAMBERS. An attempt was made at this time by Mr. CROSS to have them entered into evidence but Mr. MURPHY for the Government objected.

On page 606 of the record, Mr. MURPHY, in addressing the court, stated "I offer in evidence with Mr. CROSS' consent, the two State Department documents previously delivered both to the court and to me by the State Department employee, entitled 'Memorandum of Conversation, Tuesday, March 20, 1945' and 'Memorandum of Conversation, August 28, 1946'".

In the absence of Mr. HENNICH at the Bureau, I made the above information available to SA REX SHRODER of the Bureau.
Priscilla Hiss - AEGERHIS
WIFE OF

NAME OF COMPLAINANT
Ruth U. Paulson
457 W. 37 - S. N.Y.

ADDRESS OF COMPLAINANT
69 W. 217A

TELEPHONE NUMBER OF COMPLAINANT
6:30 A.M.

DATE AND TIME COMPLAINANT RECEIVED
10:30 A.M.

DESCRIPTION OF SUBJECT:

FACTS OF COMPLAINT: Complainant telephonically advised this office that who is employed by the "New York Association for the Blind" Tuesday 12/6/53, Mrs. Hiss came to the association and bought 5 packages of Baby Bils at $1.30 a piece and mailed them to the following people:

1. Mr. & Mrs. Harold Rosenwald -
14 Greenwood Street
Newton Center, Mass.

2. Mr. & Mrs. Charles Duff,
3304 West Road Road,
Baltimore, Md.

ACTION RECOMMENDED BY AGENT:
For your Information

Robert E. Dudley
Special Agent

DEC 13, 1953
FBI - NEW YORK

65-14970
3. Mrs. Roesch von Muhern
390 - 12th Ave, N.Y.C.

4. Dr. & Mrs. Morris Sheftel
2956 - Huckleberry Ave.
Berkeley, Cal.

5. Mr. & Mrs. Kenneth Simon
212 Barry Ave
Mamaroneck, N.Y.

All gifts had a card which stated: "Many Christmas
to all from Alba, Tony & Penny."
Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO: SAC New York
FROM: SH Robert L. Stevenson Jr
SUBJECT: Alger Hiss
Perjury; Esp. R

James T. Scott, President, 1st National Bank, Elmsford, New York advised that the check dated 3/14/50, drawn on the First National Bank of Elmsford, N.Y. payable to Richard H. Field in the amount of $5-00.00 was signed by Sylvan L. Stix.

Mr. Scott advised that Stix is a man about 80 years old and that Stix is the President of Seaman Brothers, N.Y.C. located at 121 Hudson Ave, N.Y.C. Stix resides at Worthington Road, Elmsford, N.Y. and according to Mr. Scott Stix is a millionaire. Stix's wife is Florence. Scott stated he has known Stix more than 30 years and that Stix has
always been a staunch Democrat. He stated that he could not imagine Stix being engaged in any subversive activity.


The records further reflect that Florence D. Stix Worthington Road, Elmsford, New York voted as follows:

- 1952: Did not give party preference
- 1951: Dem.
- 1950: Dem
- 1949: Dem
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Party</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1946</td>
<td>Dem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1947</td>
<td>Liberal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1946</td>
<td>Dem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1945</td>
<td>No vote</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1944</td>
<td>Did not declare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1943</td>
<td>No vote</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1942</td>
<td>Did not declare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1941</td>
<td>ALP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1940</td>
<td>ALP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1939</td>
<td>No vote</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1938</td>
<td>ALP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1937</td>
<td>Did not declare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1936</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1935</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1934</td>
<td>Socialist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1933</td>
<td>No vote</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1932</td>
<td>Socialist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1931</td>
<td>Socialist</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(end)

The Ny Office should check
Dun & Bradstreet re Seaman
Brothers - 121 Hudson Ave, N.Y.C.
On 12/11/53, Mr. GEORGE W. HUMPHREY, warden, U.S. Penitentiary, Lewisburg, Pa., furnished SA WAYNE G. HUNT the contents of a letter addressed to ALGER HISS which is set out as follows:

"Lee Haring
Guliford College
North Carolina"

"November 30, 1953"

"Mr. Alger Hiss
Federal Penitentiary
Lewisburg
Pennsylvania"

"Dear Alger Hiss:

"I see in the papers that your parole was rejected again for the usual political reasons. Seeing the item prompted me to write you, as I have long thought of doing, to tell you there is just one more person who is interested and concerned about your history and your future.

"You won’t remember meeting me at the Kellogg Smiths’ in about 1944 or 1945; but I remember the meeting very plainly. Because of it, and through Peggy and JK, I have followed your career since with unusual interest. Reading the brief for your appeal was an eye-opening and rewarding experience; and the attempt at a new trial was valiant, although people would not of course accept the idea of such a government conspiracy. I suppose Nixon has interfered with your parole. But time, as you yourself realize, will solve many of your problems and prove you right. Truth does have a way of winning out in the end.

WJh:cam
cc: 1 - Charlotte"
"I merely wanted to let you know of my abiding faith and concern. My deep good wishes and greetings to you.

"Sincerely,
/s/ Lee Haring"

Warden HUMPHREY advised that since Mr. HARING is not an authorized correspondent of HISS, this letter was not delivered but returned to the sender.

The above is being submitted as a matter of information."
Rebuted 12/18/53, which referred to an article in "Look" magazine, written by J. WHITTAKER CHAMBERS and particularly a statement wherein he indicated that, for a period of two years he was not interviewed by the FBI Agents and indicated the reason for this was that the Department of Justice had to clear interviews with such people as CHAMBERS. This letter concludes that, inasmuch as there have been certain statements made by CHAMBERS relative to the inability of FBI Agents to contact him for one reason or another, the Bureau desired that a complete check of the NY and Balto. Offices be made in order to set forth in date sequence the dates on which CHAMBERS was interviewed from 1942 through December, 1948.

At 10:15 A.M., 12/29/53, I received a call from Inspector Carl Hennrich, who stated that this matter had come up on the Director's tickler and he was desirous of knowing when this job could be completed by NYO so that he could submit an appropriate memo to the Director's office. I told Mr. Hennrich we had received the letter and we had done some preliminary work on it; that it appeared it was going to be a job that would take quite sometime to complete. Mr. Hennrich said we would have to make an effort to give this matter expeditious attention and to advise the Bureau when the project might be completed. I told him that, at the moment, it would be impossible for me to calculate an estimate, but that I would put a number of Agents on the job today and attempt, by the close of business, to find out how much work had to be done and how long it would take. Mr. Hennrich said that was agreeable with him but that in any event I was to call him sometime this afternoon and advise him of approximately when this office can complete this particular job. He added he hoped we would not have to pursue this matter beyond December, 1948, and he said he was going to make a recommendation to this effect. He stated, however, that, if his suggestion met with disagreement, he would so advise us so that we could project this assignment to the present time or whatever time is decided upon by Bureau officials.
MEMO: JAHAM
PERSJURY;
ESPINAGE-R.

On this date I requested the transfer of
the publication "Hearings Regarinding Communist Espionage
in the United States Government" from the exhibit
section of the captioned file to the N.Y. Office
Library. This pamphlet was being maintained as
65-14920-16-112. It will be used in the Library
to add to the volumes of HCVA reports. It is noted
that the pamphlet herein described bears page
timbers 501 through 1378 and contains the results
of hearings held in July, August and Sept 1948.

Herman G. Lutsko

SA.

65-14920-7070

65-14920
Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO: NYC, NY
FROM: SA THOMAS O. SPENCER (65–11920)
SUBJECT: JAHAM

DATE: 5/27/54

At 11:30 a.m. this date, I talked to Bill Cleveland at the
Bureau in the absence of Victor Kay, and told him that in checking our
indices with regard to the jury panel we came up with the fact that
HUBERT E. JAMES was a prospective juror who might be called by the
China Daily News trial.

I informed him that an individual we had determined was
identical with the HUBERT E. JAMES who was the foreman at the first HISS
trial, and about whom we had some information strongly indicating he had
a preconceived notion of the innocence of ALGER HISS, had indicated to
his wife that he was going to exert every pressure he could to see that
the other jurors listening to this trial felt the same as he did.

I told Mr. Cleveland that we had discussed this matter here
and it was our recommendation that this matter should definitely be brought
to the attention of the USA's office, but that we did not feel it should be
made a part of the report. It was our recommendation that we handle this
matter personally with USA LUMBARD. Mr. Cleveland stated he could not give
an opinion at the moment but would check and call us back.

At twelve noon he returned my call and stated that the FBI
should submit to the Bureau a teletype summary setting out briefly the
information developed during the HISS case and how same was handled. We
should indicate in the teletype that, UACB, the information would be furnished
orally to Mr. LUMBARD and we should wait at least until next Tuesday or
Wednesday before giving the information to LUMBARD, which would give the
Bureau a chance to check into the matter further. I told Mr. Cleveland that
we would send the teletype out today.
Subject's Name and Aliases

FLORENCE M. CATHY

Name of Complainant
63-17 Billings Street, Queens Village, L.
Address of Complainant
HO 4-5208

Telephine no. of Complainant
5/7/54 6:40 PM

Date & Time Complaint Received

DESCRIPTION OF SUBJECT:

FACTS OF COMPLAINT: The complainant appeared at the office and advised that she formerly was employed, from 1946 to 1951, as a receptionist-switchboard operator, for one NATHAN W. LEVIN, 100 Park Avenues. According to the complainant, she wrote a letter to Westbrook Pegler but decided this info should be furnished to the FBI. At the time of the interview the complainant furnished the enclosed letter to the writer. In addition to the info in this letter the complainant also advised that while she was employed by LEVIN they were located at 570 Lexington Avenue. Also in this building, at this time, on the 37th floor, was the office of MARION R. ASCOLI and ADRIEL R. LEVY. This office was maintained in conjunction with some type of lobbying activities, conducted by the above, in Washington, D.C.

Action Recommended by Agent:

Encl: (1) letter from complainant to Westbrook Pegler dated 5/7/54

ROBERT JOHN MILLER
Special Agent
Mrs. s. ASCOLI and LEVIN later had space in MR. LEVINS office at 100 Park Avenue. 
DR. MAX ASCOLI frequently held meetings in MR. LEVINS office. These meetings 
where in connection with the NEW SCHOOL OF SOCIAL RESEARCH.

In 1947, the complainant continued, MRS. ADELE R. LEVY held a party; for 
HELEN G. DOUGLASS, who was running for the U.S. Congress, from the State of 
California, at 300 Park Avenue.

A MR. COTTLEIB (ph), a member of the law firm which represents LEVIN, is 
believed to have represented ALGER HISS. If it wasn't COTTLEIB, the complainant 
continued, it was one of his partners that assisted in the HISS defense.

MISS MC CARRY informed the writer that a MRS. CLANCY was formerly MR. 
LEVINS secretary and is now MRS. LEVY secretary. The complainant feels that 
MRS. CLANCY would probably be cooperative if contacted by agents of this office.

LEVY has a record, in the Eagle Warehouse, B'klyn., of all phone calls 
and personal interviews.

MISS MC CARRY stated she would be willing to talk to agents re this matter 
and advised she is home after 7:00 P.M.

LEVIN is described as w/ul, 51 yrs., 5'5", sandy-grey hair, glasses, ruddy 
complexion, slight build.
Mr. Westbrook Pegler
235 East 45th Street
New York, N. Y.

Dear Mr. Pegler:

I have been reading your column regarding the Waldorf Astoria group with much interest.

For six years I worked for a man by the name of Nathan W. Levin whose office is located at 100 Park Avenue. He at one time was connected with the University of Chicago. He is the financial advisor for the Rosenwald family of Sears Roebuck. William Rosenwald resides at the Waldorf Astoria Tower.

During the six years I worked there, many queer things took place. Levin was in some way connected with the Institute of Pacific Relations and Edwin C. Carter visited him on several occasions when Levin's office was at 570 Lexington Avenue. Oppenheimer also was a visitor to his office and on one occasion had his picture taken with the entire Rosenwald family. Lawrence Duggan was a friend of Levin's as is his brother.

Marion R. Ascoli is married to Max Ascoli who is the editor of the Reporter Magazine. The R in Marion's name is for Rosenwald. Levin handles all their finances.

Adele R. Levy is married to Dr. David Levy who was connected with the Army in the last war (War #2) as a psychiatrist. The R in Adele's name stands for Rosenwald and her finances are handled by Levin.

When I was employed there, New School for Social Research held their meetings at Levin's office and some of them lasted all night.

American Research and Development of Boston also had contact with Levin and many meetings were held at Levin's office.

Please do not publish this letter. My blood is boiling at the smear against Senator McCarthy and I thought if you did not already know of these facts you could look into them.

Sincerely,

Eileen McCarty
TO: SAC, NY

FROM: SA JOHN J. DANAHY (65-14920)

SUBJECT: JAHAM ESPIONAGE-R

DATE: 5/24/54

On 5/24/54 the writer discussed the exhibits in captioned case with SA Charles R. St. John.

It is noted that as well as exhibits maintained in this office, there are maintained in the files of the USA's office, SDNY three cabinets of exhibits used at the trials of AGER HISS. It is felt that these exhibits should be retained there until such time as HISS is released from jail, probably in the fall of 1954, at which time the writer intends to return the exhibits from the USA's office and review them together with those in the files of this office for ultimate disposition or distribution to individual case files which have been opened in this office as a result of JAHAM disclosures.

A tickler has been prepared on this for 11/30/54.

T. J. Moore

MAY 24, 1954
NEVADA
SYAO32
SYTHEAO12 NL PD=TDLE ROCKVILLE CENTRE NY 22-
FBI FOLEY SQUARE
NYK=

AS REPORTED IN THE TRIBUNE JUNE 23 I WILL NEVER AGAIN PAY TAXES IF AND WHEN HISS RECEIVED A PENSION 1 AM FORWARDING A COPY OF SAID TELEGRAM TO FBI=

WILLIAM B SULLIVAN 135 VERNON AVE ROCKVILLE CENTRE NY=

JUN 23 1954
NEW YORK

THE COMPANY WILL APPRECIATE SUGGESTIONS FROM ITS PATRONS CONCERNING ITS SERVICE
SAC, New York

STANLEY F. JOHNSON, JR. SAC

6/24/54

Rerep SA STANLEY F. JOHNSON, JR. dated 6/24/54 at New York in this matter.

Rerep reflects contact with informant T-l, of known reliability, who is

- She was contacted by SA JOSHUA D. ENSOR and the writer

on 6/22/54. She furnished information pertaining to Communist
Party activities and membership of and his associates

known or believed by T-l to be members of the Communist Party

substantially during the period 1939-1946. These associates

included persons who participated in the HAROLD WARE group,

Washington, D.C. and specifically were:

1

NY 65-11920 (ALGER HISS)

1953

SPJ:RJI

1954

SEARCHED INDEXED SERIALIZED FILED 1954

JYN 30 1952

FBI: NEW YORK
Reference is made to the memorandum of Supervisor T.J. McC Andrews dated 8/2/54 in contioned matter, which sets out an incident relating to the investigation of the death of Laurence Duggan as furnished by

A review of contioned matter in the J.T. files indicates that on 4/28/49 one Arthur H. Roark Jr., a private investigator with offices at 2 Broadway, was interviewed by SA John J. Danahy. At that time Roark advised he was conducting an investigation of the circumstances of Duggan's death on behalf of the Home Life Insurance Co. and the Aetna Life Insurance Co., inasmuch as both firms held life insurance policies in Duggan's favor.

Roark said that he was of the personal opinion as a result of his investigation that Duggan had committed suicide. He then proceeded to outline some of the reasons which led him to this opinion. Roark also said he had interviewed several mutual friends of Hiss and Duggan.

Roark said that although his investigations had concluded that Duggan's death was an intentional suicide, and that his conclusion had been supported by attorneys of the two insurance companies, the companies' officials had decided to make full payments to Duggan's widow on the condition that she agree that the companies were making the double indemnity payments only because they felt they would be unable to prove the suicide in a court of law, despite their opinion that the death was a suicide. Roark said that the companies were also afraid of the notoriety resulting from a refusal to pay the indemnity claims and were also influenced to pay by possible "political influence," a term used which Roark did not elaborate.

[ was contacted telephonically by the writer on August 5 regarding the above. The name of Arthur H. Roark Jr. was mentioned to him as the possible identity of the investigator who had contacted him. He said that while he could not be sure, he felt that Roark was possibly the name of the person. He said that he had never met this individual, but had only talked with him on the phone on one or two occasions. ]
Emphasized that he has no information that DUGGAN was murdered, and
knows nothing of DUGGAN's death other than what has come to his attention
through public sources. He said that a month or two after DUGGAN'S death
he was called on the phone by an individual who said he was an investigator
retained by an insurance company to look into the circumstances of DUGGAN'S
death. He then asked opinion as to whether the source felt DUGGAN had
committed suicide or had been pushed out of the window and that caller that he believed DUGGAN had been murdered, after looking at the matter
logically from a "political point of view". He also said that the federal
authorities had begun to "squeese" DUGGAN a little and that DUGGAN had become
scared; then surmised that DUGGAN had possibly called HIIS, about whom,
according to DUGGAN must have had a quantity of incriminating knowledge.
He continued that DUGGAN may have asked HIIS to come to his office, but
that someone else sent by HIIS had actually shown up, threatened DUGGAN and
eventually thrown him out of the window.

said that he made the above conjectures to the investigator based on
his previous knowledge of Soviet tactics, but that was only a speculation.
The investigator then objected to the analysis, saying that a footprint
believed to be DUGGAN'S was found on the window ledge, and that such
a footprint could easily planted by the Soviets to make the whole thing appear
a suicide. The investigator also told him that DUGGAN'S widow was in a
quandary; she did not want to claim that the death was by suicide since she
would lose the insurance money; nor did she want to claim it was murder, since
by doing so she would tacitly admit that DUGGAN had something to do with the
HIIS Soviet conspir. He also said that the insurance companies he represented
were also in a "delicate" position.

The investigator then thanked him for his opinion and the conversation ended.
About a month later, the investigator again called when was away from
home. He talked with and told her he was calling to advise
that his (the investigator(s) inquiries had led to confirmation of
earlier opinion that DUGGAN had been murdered. He then told
he would
call back later and tell about it; he also mentioned he would be out of
town for a month and would call again on his return. According to both
and
the investigator never called subsequently.

said that the foregoing is the sum of his recollections concerning his
contacts with the investigator.

Advised she had been contacted on
by ROARK and had been asked whether or not she felt there had been foul play in
DUGGAN'S death. She refused to furnish ROARK with any information because

Based on the foregoing, it appears that ROARK was the incorrect investigator who
contacted THE JOO may desire to reinterview ROARK since it is possible he
discovered additional evidence concerning DUGGAN'S death after being contacted
by this office.
Ike Signs Law Barring Hiss Pension

FRASER, Colo., Sept. 2 (AP)—President Eisenhower today signed into law an act aimed at denying pension benefits to Alger Hiss, one-time State Department official now in prison for perjury. The new law bans pension payments to any government employee or official, including members of Congress, convicted of a perjury. It provides, however, for the return of contributions made to the government's retirement fund.
Office Memorandum

TO: SAC, NEW YORK

FROM: SA THOMAS J. McANDREWS

SUBJECT: JAHAN

DATE: 8/3/54

NY 65-11920

At 2:15 P.M. this date I telephonically contacted the writer in the absence of SA Robert S. Plantz who maintains liaison with [redacted].

I recalled the following concerning LAWRENCE DUGGAN: [redacted] and [redacted] for his presence in the apparent absence of any life insurance.

At the time of DUGGAN'S death, [redacted] was contacted by an individual whose identity he no longer recalls but who represented a well-known insurance company. This person was investigating the death of LAWRENCE DUGGAN inasmuch as a question of double indemnity was involved. At that time told this insurance company investigator that he believed DUGGAN had been murdered,

[redacted] gave the investigator his reasons for this theory and at an unrecalled time in the future was recontacted by this insurance investigator who told [redacted] that the investigation conducted by the insurance company had corroborated [redacted] that he did not know whether he had ever told this information to the FBI and desired to bring it to the attention of this office for what it might be worth.

It is the recollection of the writer that during the HISS case SA John J. Danahy conducted investigation concerning the death of LAWRENCE DUGGAN during which investigation contact was had with the insurance company which covered DUGGAN. Contact was also had with the individual investigating the death of LAWRENCE DUGGAN.

SA Plantz is requested to review the pertinent material in the HISS file concerning this incident and interview [redacted] in detail for all information in his possession which would indicate DUGGAN had been murdered rather than a suicide. Appropriate background information may be obtained from SA Danahy.

1 - Lawrence Duggan File
To the Oregon Journal

Dear Sirs:

Now that they have passed a bill in Washington taking away the pension rights of Mr. Alger Hiss, let me tell you a few things.

First let me mention the fact that Mr. Whittaker Chambers threatened to get even with Mr. Alger Hiss if his wife, whom he was then hiding out from ever caught up with him again, he called her 'that woman, her picture with that of a young baby I would say was four or five months old was on the dresser, Hiss was pleading for him to return to her on account of the child.'

Second this was in April 1929 at 24 Clarkson St. New York, I was the landlady I was not concerned even though I commented on the picture and asked if it was his wife and son, I was only trying to collect my rent for an attic room, it opened up the way for Mr Chambers to go off on a subject that I had read about and had a very limited experience with in the village but non-the-less I understood what kind of a person he was telling me he was out of his own mouth.

Third Mr. Chambers was sent to me by a former roomer Mr. Kenneth Chase a railroader he is the smallest man in this picture the other fellow is a man by the name of Foley he did not live at Clarkson St.

The enclosed picture was taken from the window of an attic window in the old Bank Building looking across the square you can see Jimmie Walkers old home and where Alfred Smith once lived, it is St. Lukes Place and the playground was used by the Village Dusters to play ball just ask Mr. Hiss if he remembers the place, or bringing a stack of pictures to Mr. Chambers.

In 1945 after three years of listening to Mrs Duggan she told me she gave Whittaker Chambers a lot of micro film she had swiped while working as a scrubwoman in the State Dept where the man who was in charge and supposed to empty the trash baskets sometimes did not burn the contents. Mrs. Duggan gave the micro film to Chambers for a favor he had given something she considered priceless, and said they could not operate without it, I have thought it was poison of which she was cold and calculating in its use, seems to me she had two kinds, one from Italy and one from Russia.

Mrs. Duggan made a bid for me to help her and if I did not I knew without her telling me what I would get, on the
same day she told me about the Chambers deal and how he hid the micro-film around a door jamb and stuck it into a hole in the barn until he could use it somehow, then she said she had not seen Mr. Chambers since 1937, she would have to look him up and see what he was doing, she told me about him making six long important looking papers and passing them off to the Russians as U. S. documents, this he did because it pleased him to do so, for he never was a Communist neither was Mr. Budanz or Miss Bentley—they were all props of her husband Mr. Duggan.

On the day Mrs. Duggan enlarged upon the plan to take over the United States she also asked me how I was going to be buried if I was to be cremated and what was to be done with the ashes, this seemed to interest her (Somewhere along the line a chill went down my spine) she said my friend Wayne Walden was going to be cremated and his ashes were to be strewn all over New York City she asked, "Don't you think that romantic?" I said I was to be cremated and I was invited to rest my ashes in a garden by the side of a friend, but that I had changed my mind after I heard that the ashes had made a Sharon rose bush bloom, she said, "Why they must have put a hole in the can, that would be all right"—yes, siree, that would be all right if anybody in his right mind would hold still for such murder! The plan to take over the United States is a large one and would not end with Mr. McCarthy, it is to go on and on to the year 2000 and they do not care if they run out of Communists, what difference would it make they do not care one fig if a person is red or pink or green, they are looking for power, the UnAmerican Committee is only the tool they use now, you will note that the persons charging the accused is not shown, they can be used over and over again, such as Whittaker Chambers in the White case.

Did it ever occur to anybody to examine Harry Vohn when he died for poison, out of the long list of persons who died did anybody ever examine them for foul play?

Sort of ends on a sour note, I invited Mrs. Duggan to my house for tea and was in a friendly mood when I was told all this mess, and a man who had nothing whatsoever to do with all this had to die because he happened to be there, this is only to give you some idea about what we are in for and you cannot condemn a man before he opens his mouth, after he does and he is a Senator how are you to get rid of him?

Annette Hamilton
There is one small footnote. The Super Red spy is coming back to finish the job he botched up when I would not eat his meat balls and spaghetti and fed it to my little dog—what I will do with the Super-man Jimmie Smith I do not know, the family invited him he said he was broke, his sister said he made three thousand Dollars this summer—but that is no money for that 'Dear Boy'