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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SERIAL</th>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION (TYPE OF COMM, TO, FROM)</th>
<th>NO OF PGS</th>
<th>SENT TO BUREAU</th>
<th>BUFILE NO. DIRECTED TO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>582</td>
<td>12/30/48</td>
<td>Boston teletype to Bureau, New York</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>583</td>
<td>12/30/48</td>
<td>Bureau teletype to New York</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>584</td>
<td>12/30/48</td>
<td>New York teletype to Bureau, Albany (3 copies)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>585</td>
<td>12/30/48</td>
<td>New York teletype to Bureau, Baltimore, Washington Field Office (2 copies)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>586</td>
<td>12/30/48</td>
<td>Chicago teletype to Bureau, New York</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>587</td>
<td>12/30/48</td>
<td>Memo to file from Assistant Special Agent in Charge, Belmont</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>released in full</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>588</td>
<td>12/30/48</td>
<td>Washington Field Office teletype to Bureau, Philadelphia</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>589</td>
<td>12/30/48</td>
<td>Letter to Washington Field Office from FBI lab</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>590</td>
<td>12/30/48</td>
<td>Letter to Washington Field Office from FBI lab</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>591</td>
<td>12/31/48</td>
<td>Washington Field Office Charlotte teletype to Bureau, New York,</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>592</td>
<td>12/31/48</td>
<td>New Haven teletype to Bureau, New York</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>593</td>
<td>12/31/48</td>
<td>Chicago teletype to New York</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>released in full</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SERIAL</td>
<td>DATE</td>
<td>DESCRIPTION (TYPE OF COMM, TO, FROM)</td>
<td>NO OF PGS</td>
<td>SENT TO BUREAU</td>
<td>BUFILE NOS. DIRECTED TO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>594</td>
<td>12/31/48</td>
<td>Washington Field Office teletype to New York</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>released in full</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>595</td>
<td>12/31/48</td>
<td>Washington Field Office teletype to Bureau, New York</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>596</td>
<td>12/31/48</td>
<td>Bureau teletype to New York</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>597</td>
<td>12/31/48</td>
<td>Washington Field Office teletype to Bureau, New York</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>598</td>
<td>12/31/48</td>
<td>Washington Field Office teletype to New York</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>released in full</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>599</td>
<td>12/31/48</td>
<td>Baltimore teletype to New York</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>released in full</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>600</td>
<td>12/31/48</td>
<td>Los Angeles teletype to Bureau, Baltimore, Chicago, New York, Washington Field Office</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>601</td>
<td>12/31/48</td>
<td>Bureau teletype to New York</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>602</td>
<td>12/31/48</td>
<td>Memo to file from Assistant Special Agent in Charge, Belmont</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>released in full</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>603</td>
<td>12/31/48</td>
<td>Memo to file from Special Agent Tuohy.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>released in full</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>604</td>
<td>12/29/48</td>
<td>Letter to Director from Chicago</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>605</td>
<td>12/31/48</td>
<td>Memo to file from Assistant Special Agent in Charge, Belmont</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>released in full</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SERIAL</td>
<td>DATE</td>
<td>DESCRIPTION (TYPE OF COMM, TO, FROM)</td>
<td>NO OF PGS</td>
<td>SENT TO BUREAU</td>
<td>BUFILE NO. DIRECTED TO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>606</td>
<td>12/30/48</td>
<td>Letter to Washington Field Office from FBI lab</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>607</td>
<td>1/1/49</td>
<td>Philadelphia teletype to Bureau, New York, Washington Field Office</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>608</td>
<td>1/1/49</td>
<td>Letter to Denver from Washington Field Office</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>released in full</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>609</td>
<td>12/30/48</td>
<td>Letter to Baltimore from New York</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>released in full</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>610</td>
<td>12/31/48</td>
<td>Albany teletype to Bureau, New York</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>611</td>
<td>12/29/48</td>
<td>Letter to New York from FBI lab</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>612</td>
<td>12/30/48</td>
<td>Memo to file from Assistant Special Agent in Charge, Belmont</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>released in full</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>613</td>
<td>12/29/48</td>
<td>Letter to Boston from FBI lab</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>614</td>
<td>12/30/48</td>
<td>Memo to file from Special Agent Spencer</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>released in full</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>615</td>
<td>12/30/48</td>
<td>Phoenix teletype to Denver, New York</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>released in full</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>616</td>
<td>12/30/48</td>
<td>Washington Field Office teletype to Bureau, New York</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>617</td>
<td>12/30/48</td>
<td>New Haven teletype to Bureau, New York</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SERIAL</td>
<td>DATE</td>
<td>DESCRIPTION (TYPE OF COMM, TO, FROM)</td>
<td>NO OF PGS</td>
<td>SENT TO BUREAU</td>
<td>BUFILE NOS. DIRECTED TO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>618</td>
<td>12/31/48</td>
<td>Memo to file from Special Agent Spencer</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>released in full</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>619</td>
<td>11/29/49</td>
<td>Transferred to Sub E</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>no</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>620</td>
<td>12/31/48</td>
<td>Letter to Director from Albany</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>621</td>
<td>12/31/48</td>
<td>New York teletype to Bureau (2 copies)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>622</td>
<td>12/29/48</td>
<td>Indianapolis report of Special Agent King</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>623</td>
<td>12/31/48</td>
<td>Letter to Director from Boston</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>624</td>
<td>1/3/49</td>
<td>Philadelphia teletype to Bureau, Washington Field Office, New York</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>625</td>
<td>1/3/49</td>
<td>Washington Field Office teletype to Bureau, New York</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>626</td>
<td>1/3/49</td>
<td>Washington Field Office teletype to Bureau, New York</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>627</td>
<td>12/31/48</td>
<td>Letter to Albany from FBI lab</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>628</td>
<td>12/31/48</td>
<td>Letter to Washington Field Office from FBI lab</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>629</td>
<td>12/31/48</td>
<td>Letter to Chicago from FBI lab</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SERIAL</td>
<td>DATE</td>
<td>DESCRIPTION (TYPE OF COMM, TO, FROM)</td>
<td>NO OF PGS</td>
<td>SENT TO BUREAU</td>
<td>BUFILE NOS. DIRECTED TO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>630</td>
<td>12/31/48</td>
<td>Letter to New York from FBI lab</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>631</td>
<td>1/3/49</td>
<td>Memo to file from Assistant Special Agent in Charge, Belmont</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>released in full</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>632</td>
<td>1/3/49</td>
<td>Memo to file from Special Agent Hilsbos</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>released in full</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>633</td>
<td>12/30/48</td>
<td>New Haven teletype to New York</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>released in full</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>634</td>
<td>1/3/49</td>
<td>Memo to file from Special Agent O'Brien</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>released in full</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>635</td>
<td>1/3/49</td>
<td>Memo to file from Special Agent Tuohy</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>released in full</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>636</td>
<td>1/4/49</td>
<td>Memo to file from Assistant Special Agent in Charge, Belmont</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>released in full</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>637</td>
<td>12/30/48</td>
<td>Springfield report of Special Agent Todd</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>638</td>
<td>12/30/48</td>
<td>Letter to Director from New York</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>639</td>
<td>12/30/48</td>
<td>Letter to Anchorage from New York</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>released in full</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>640</td>
<td>12/31/48</td>
<td>Letter to Director from New York</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>641</td>
<td>12/31/48</td>
<td>New York teletype to Bureau, Washington Field Office (2 copies)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SERIAL</td>
<td>DATE</td>
<td>DESCRIPTION (TYPE OF COMM, TO, FROM)</td>
<td>NO. OF PGS</td>
<td>SENT TO BUREAU</td>
<td>FILE NO. DIRECTED TO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>642</td>
<td>12/30/48</td>
<td>Chicago teletype to Bureau, Washington Field Office</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>643</td>
<td>12/30/48</td>
<td>Washington Field Office teletype to Bureau, Baltimore</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>644</td>
<td>12/31/48</td>
<td>Washington Field Office teletype to Bureau Philadelphia</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>645</td>
<td>12/31/48</td>
<td>Washington Field Office teletype to Bureau Richmond</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>646</td>
<td>12/31/48</td>
<td>Washington Field Office teletype to Bureau Los Angeles</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>647</td>
<td>12/31/48</td>
<td>Letter to FBI lab from Washington Field Office</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>648</td>
<td>12/31/48</td>
<td>Letter to Detroit from Director</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>649</td>
<td>1/3/49</td>
<td>Bureau teletype to New York</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>650</td>
<td>1/3/49</td>
<td>New York teletype to San Francisco</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>released in full</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>651</td>
<td>1/3/49</td>
<td>New York teletype to Bureau, Baltimore (2 copies)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>652</td>
<td>1/3/49</td>
<td>New York teletype to Bureau, Washington Field Office (2 copies)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>653</td>
<td>1/3/49</td>
<td>New York teletype to Bureau, Baltimore (2 copies)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SERIAL</td>
<td>DATE</td>
<td>DESCRIPTION (TYPE OF COMM, TO, FROM)</td>
<td>NO OF PGS</td>
<td>SENT TO BUREAU</td>
<td>BUFFER NO. DIRECTED TO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>654</td>
<td>1/3/49</td>
<td>(3 copies) New York teletype to Bureau, Charlotte</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>655</td>
<td>1/3/49</td>
<td>New York teletype to Bureau (2 copies)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>656</td>
<td>1/3/49</td>
<td>Letter to Washington Field Office from FBI lab</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>657</td>
<td>11/29/49</td>
<td>Transfer to Sub E</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>658</td>
<td>1/4/49</td>
<td>Memo to file from Assistant Special Agent in Charge, Belmont</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>released in full</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>659</td>
<td>12/31/49</td>
<td>Charlotte teletype to Bureau, New York</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>660</td>
<td>12/30/48</td>
<td>St. Louis teletype to Bureau, New York</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>661</td>
<td>1/3/49</td>
<td>New York teletype to Bureau, Washington Field Office</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>662</td>
<td>1/3/49</td>
<td>Memo to file from Assistant Special Agent in Charge, Belmont</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>released in full</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>663</td>
<td>1/3/49</td>
<td>Letter to Director from New York</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>664</td>
<td>1/3/49</td>
<td>Memo to file from Assistant Special Agent in Charge, Belmont</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>released in full</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>665</td>
<td>1/4/49</td>
<td>Baltimore teletype to Bureau, New York</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SERIAL</td>
<td>DATE</td>
<td>DESCRIPTION (TYPE OF COMM, TO, FROM)</td>
<td>NO OF PGS</td>
<td>SENT TO BUREAU</td>
<td>BUFILE NOS. DIRECTED TO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>666</td>
<td>1/3/49</td>
<td>Letter to New York from Director</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>667</td>
<td>12/28/48</td>
<td>Letter to Director from San Francisco</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>668</td>
<td>12/30/48</td>
<td>Letter to Director from Pittsburgh</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>669</td>
<td>12/31/48</td>
<td>Letter to New York from Baltimore</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>released in full</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>670</td>
<td>1/3/49</td>
<td>Washington Field Office teletype to Bureau</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>671</td>
<td>1/3/49</td>
<td>Bureau report of Special Agent Cryan</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>672</td>
<td>1/3/49</td>
<td>Letter to FBI lab from Washington Field Office</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>673</td>
<td>1/3/49</td>
<td>Letter to FBI lab from Washington Field Office</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>674</td>
<td>1/3/49</td>
<td>Letter to FBI lab from Washington Field Office</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>675</td>
<td>1/4/49</td>
<td>Los Angeles teletype to Bureau, New York</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>676</td>
<td>1/4/49</td>
<td>Washington Field Office teletype to Bureau, New York</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>677</td>
<td>1/4/49</td>
<td>Baltimore teletype to Bureau, New York, Washington Field Office</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SERIAL</td>
<td>DATE</td>
<td>DESCRIPTION (TYPE OF COMM, TO, FROM)</td>
<td>NO. OF PGS</td>
<td>SENT TO BUREAU</td>
<td>BUFFER NOS. DIRECTED TO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>678</td>
<td>1/4/49</td>
<td>Washington Field Office teletype to New York, Philadelphia</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Bureau advised</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>679</td>
<td>1/4/49</td>
<td>Washington Field Office teletype to New York, Philadelphia</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Bureau advised</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>680</td>
<td>1/4/49</td>
<td>Bureau teletype to Boston, New York</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>681</td>
<td>1/4/49</td>
<td>Bureau teletype to Philadelphia, New York</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>682</td>
<td>1/4/49</td>
<td>Anchorage teletype to Bureau, New York</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>683</td>
<td>1/4/49</td>
<td>New York teletype to Bureau, Philadelphia</td>
<td>(2 copies)</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>684</td>
<td>1/4/49</td>
<td>New York teletype to Bureau, Washington Field Office (2 copies)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>685</td>
<td>1/4/49</td>
<td>New York teletype to Bureau, Newark</td>
<td>(2 copies)</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>686</td>
<td>1/4/49</td>
<td>New York teletype to Bureau (2 copies)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>687</td>
<td>1/3/49</td>
<td>Charlotte report of Special Agent Smith</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>688</td>
<td>1/5/49</td>
<td>Memo to file from Special Agent Granville</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>released in full</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>689</td>
<td>1/5/49</td>
<td>Memo to file from Special Agent O'Brien</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>released in full</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SERIAL</td>
<td>DATE</td>
<td>DESCRIPTION (TYPE OF COMM, TO, FROM)</td>
<td>NO OF PGS</td>
<td>SENT TO BUREAU</td>
<td>BUFILE NOS. DIRECTED TO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>690</td>
<td>1/3/49</td>
<td>Washington Field Office report of Special Agent Taylor</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>691</td>
<td>1/4/49</td>
<td>Memo to File from Special Agent Hilsbos</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>released in full</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>692</td>
<td>1/4/49</td>
<td>Letter to FBI lab from New York</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>693</td>
<td>1/4/49</td>
<td>Letter to FBI lab from New York</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>694</td>
<td>1/4/49</td>
<td>New York teletype to Bureau, San Francisco (2 copies)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>695</td>
<td>1/4/49</td>
<td>Letter to Washington Field Office from FBI lab</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>696</td>
<td>1/4/49</td>
<td>Letter to Albany from FBI lab</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>697</td>
<td>1/4/49</td>
<td>Letter to Washington Field Office from FBI lab</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>698</td>
<td>1/4/49</td>
<td>Letter to Washington Field Office from FBI lab</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>699</td>
<td>1/4/49</td>
<td>Washington Field Office teletype to Bureau, Chicago</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>700</td>
<td>1/4/49</td>
<td>Washington Field Office teletype to Bureau, Springfield</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>701</td>
<td>1/4/49</td>
<td>Bureau teletype to Los Angeles</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SERIAL</td>
<td>DATE</td>
<td>DESCRIPTION (TYPE OF COMM, TO, FROM)</td>
<td>NO OF PGS</td>
<td>SENT TO BUREAU</td>
<td>BUFILE NOS. DIRECTED TO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>702</td>
<td>2/13/48</td>
<td>Letter to Washington Field Office from Director</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>703</td>
<td>1/4/49</td>
<td>Letter to Director from Baltimore</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>704</td>
<td>1/5/49</td>
<td>Phoenix teletype to New York, El Paso</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>released in full</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>705</td>
<td>1/5/49</td>
<td>Washington Field Office teletype to Boston, New York, Bureau</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>706</td>
<td>1/3/49</td>
<td>St. Louis report of Special Agent Small</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>707</td>
<td>1/4/49</td>
<td>New York teletype to Bureau, Los Angeles (2 copies)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>708</td>
<td>1/4/49</td>
<td>New York teletype to Bureau, Chicago, Indianapolis (3 copies)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>709</td>
<td>1/5/49</td>
<td>New York teletype to Bureau, Albany, Baltimore (3 copies)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>710</td>
<td>1/5/49</td>
<td>New York teletype to Bureau, Washington Field Office (2 copies)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>711</td>
<td>1/5/49</td>
<td>New York teletype to Bureau, Washington Field Office (2 copies)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>712</td>
<td>1/5/49</td>
<td>New York teletype to Bureau (2 copies)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>713</td>
<td>1/5/49</td>
<td>New York teletype to Bureau, Washington Field Office (2 copies)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SERIAL</td>
<td>DATE</td>
<td>DESCRIPTION (TYPE OF COMM, TO, FROM)</td>
<td>NO OF PGS</td>
<td>SENT TO BUREAU</td>
<td>BUFILE NOs. DIRECTED TO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>714</td>
<td>1/5/49</td>
<td>New York teletype to Bureau, Baltimore (2 copies)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>715</td>
<td>1/5/49</td>
<td>New York teletype to Bureau, Washington Field Office, Newark (2 copies)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>716</td>
<td>1/5/49</td>
<td>Washington Field Office teletype to Bureau, Los Angeles, New York</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>717</td>
<td>1/5/49</td>
<td>Washington Field Office teletype to Baltimore, New York</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Bureau advised</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>718</td>
<td>1/5/49</td>
<td>Washington Field Office teletype to Boston New York</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Bureau advised</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>719</td>
<td>1/5/49</td>
<td>Washington Field Office teletype to Baltimore, New York</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Bureau Advised</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>720</td>
<td>1/5/49</td>
<td>Washington Field Office teletype to Bureau, New York</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>721</td>
<td>1/5/49</td>
<td>Washington Field Office teletype to Newark New York</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Bureau advised</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>722</td>
<td>1/5/49</td>
<td>Memo to file from Assistant Special Agent in Charge, Belmont</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>released in full</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>723</td>
<td>1/5/49</td>
<td>Memo to file from Assistant Special Agent in Charge, Belmont</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>released in full</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>724</td>
<td>1/5/49</td>
<td>New York teletype to Bureau, Dallas, Philadelphia, Washington Field Office (3 copies)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>725</td>
<td>1/5/49</td>
<td>Washington Field Office teletype to Boston New York</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Bureau advised</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SERIAL</td>
<td>DATE</td>
<td>DESCRIPTION (TYPE OF COMM, TO, FROM)</td>
<td>NO. OF PGS</td>
<td>SENT TO BUREAU</td>
<td>BUFILE NOS. DIRECTED TO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>726</td>
<td>1/5/49</td>
<td>Bureau teletype to Boston, New York</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>727</td>
<td>1/5/49</td>
<td>Philadelphia teletype to Bureau, New York, Washington Field Office</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>728</td>
<td>1/5/49</td>
<td>Washington Field Office teletype to Bureau, New York</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>729</td>
<td>1/5/49</td>
<td>Memo to file from Assistant Special Agent in Charge, Belmont</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>released in full</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>730</td>
<td>1/5/49</td>
<td>Philadelphia teletype to New York, Washington Field Office</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>731</td>
<td>1/5/49</td>
<td>New York teletype to Bureau, Washington Field Office (2 copies)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>732</td>
<td>1/5/49</td>
<td>New York teletype to Bureau, Washington Field Office (2 copies)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>733</td>
<td>1/5/49</td>
<td>Complaint form</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>released in full</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>734</td>
<td>1/6/49</td>
<td>Memo to file from Special Agent Tuohy</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>released in full</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>735</td>
<td>1/6/49</td>
<td>Memo to file from Special Agent Hilsbos</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>released in full</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>736</td>
<td>12/30/48</td>
<td>Letter to Director from Los Angeles</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>737</td>
<td>12/31/48</td>
<td>Letter to Director from Boston</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SERIAL</td>
<td>DATE</td>
<td>DESCRIPTION (TYPE OF COMM, TO, FROM)</td>
<td>NO OF PGS</td>
<td>SENT TO BUREAU</td>
<td>FILE NO. DIRECTED TO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>738</td>
<td>1/5/49</td>
<td>Letter to FBI lab from New York</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>739</td>
<td>1/5/49</td>
<td>Baltimore teletype to Bureau, Chicago, New York</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>740</td>
<td>1/5/49</td>
<td>New York teletype to Bureau, Charlotte (3 copies)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>741</td>
<td>1/5/49</td>
<td>Memo to file from Assistant Special Agent in charge, Belmont</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>released in full</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>742</td>
<td>1/4/49</td>
<td>Washington Field Office teletype to Bureau, Newark</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>743</td>
<td>1/4/49</td>
<td>Washington Field Office teletype to Bureau, Norfolk</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>744</td>
<td>1/3/49</td>
<td>Boston report of Special Agent Connors</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>745</td>
<td>1/4/49</td>
<td>Letter to Director from Boston</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>746</td>
<td>1/5/49</td>
<td>Washington Field Office teletype to Bureau, Boston</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>747</td>
<td>1/5/49</td>
<td>Washington Field Office teletype to Bureau, Chicago</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>748</td>
<td>1/5/49</td>
<td>Letter to New York from Director</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>749</td>
<td>1/5/49</td>
<td>Bureau teletype to San Francisco</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SERIAL</td>
<td>DATE</td>
<td>DESCRIPTION (TYPE OF COMM, TO, FROM)</td>
<td>NO. OF PGS</td>
<td>SENT TO BUREAU</td>
<td>BUFILIE NOS. DIRECTED TO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>750</td>
<td>1/5/49</td>
<td>Letter to Washington Field Office from FBI lab</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>751</td>
<td>1/5/49</td>
<td>Letter to New York from Director</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>752</td>
<td>1/6/49</td>
<td>Letter to a business firm from New York</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>released in full</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>753</td>
<td>1/6/49</td>
<td>Memo to file from Special Agent Tuohy</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>released in full</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>754</td>
<td>1/6/49</td>
<td>Memo to file from Special Agent Tuohy</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>released in full</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>755</td>
<td>1/6/49</td>
<td>Albany teletype to Bureau, New York</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>756</td>
<td>1/6/49</td>
<td>Los Angeles teletype to Bureau, New York, Washington Field Office</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>757</td>
<td>1/6/49</td>
<td>El Paso teletype to New York</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>released in full</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>758</td>
<td>1/6/49</td>
<td>Baltimore teletype to Bureau, New York</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>759</td>
<td>1/6/49</td>
<td>Albany teletype to Bureau, New York</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>760</td>
<td>1/6/49</td>
<td>Richmond teletype to Bureau, New York</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>761</td>
<td>1/6/49</td>
<td>Washington Field Office teletype to Bureau, New York</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SERIAL</td>
<td>DATE</td>
<td>DESCRIPTION (TYPE OF COMM., TO, FROM)</td>
<td>NO OF PGS</td>
<td>SENT TO BUREAU</td>
<td>BUFFER NO. DIRECTED TO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>762</td>
<td>1/6/49</td>
<td>Baltimore teletype to Bureau, Cincinnati, New York</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>763</td>
<td>1/6/49</td>
<td>Baltimore teletype to Bureau, New York, Washington Field Office</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>764</td>
<td>1/6/49</td>
<td>Baltimore teletype to Bureau, New York, Washington Field Office</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>765</td>
<td>1/4/49</td>
<td>Letter to Director from Chicago</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>766</td>
<td>1/4/49</td>
<td>Washington Field Office report of Special Agent Taylor</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>767</td>
<td>1/6/49</td>
<td>Letter to Director from Baltimore</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>768</td>
<td>1/5/49</td>
<td>Letter to Director from Baltimore</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>769</td>
<td>1/6/49</td>
<td>New York teletype to El Paso, Denver, (2 copies)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>released in full</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>770</td>
<td>1/6/49</td>
<td>Washington Field Office teletype to Bureau, New York</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>771</td>
<td>1/6/49</td>
<td>New York teletype to Bureau (2 copies)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>772</td>
<td>1/6/49</td>
<td>New York teletype to Bureau, Los Angeles, San Francisco (2 copies)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>773</td>
<td>1/6/49</td>
<td>New York teletype to Bureau, San Diego (3 copies)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SERIAL</td>
<td>DATE</td>
<td>DESCRIPTION (TYPE OF COMM, TO, FROM)</td>
<td>NO. OF PGS</td>
<td>SENT TO BUREAU</td>
<td>BUFILE NOS. DIRECTED TO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>774</td>
<td>1/6/49</td>
<td>New York teletype to Bureau, New Haven, Philadelphia, Washington Field (3 copies)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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At 2:25 pm today, Mr. H. B. Fletcher of the Bureau called and
inquired whether New York had been in touch with Albany regarding the
camera belonging to INSLEMAN. I advised Mr. Fletcher that Supervisor
Tuohy called Mr. Cornelius this morning regarding this.

Mr. Fletcher informed me that the laboratory has determined
that two different cameras were used in making the film submitted by the
Albany office to the Bureau. Mr. Fletcher stated there is a possibility
that INSLEMAN traded in one camera for a newer one since some of the film
was rather old. Mr. Fletcher advised me that the Bureau wants to find
both cameras and he instructed that I call Mr. Cornelius and advise him of
this.

At 2:45 pm, I called SAC Cornelius of the Albany office to advise
him that an examination of the film obtained from INSLEMAN reflects that
two cameras were used, and consequently, both cameras should be recovered
from INSLEMAN. I told Mr. Cornelius that it was my recollection that
INSLEMAN had a Leica camera which he did not want to turn over to the
Bureau because he wanted to use it during the Christmas holidays. I further
mentioned to Mr. Cornelius that INSLEMAN had told the agents that he had
the camera for about 10 years, but inasmuch as there apparently is another
camera involved, INSLEMAN should be questioned as to any other camera he
has had, the idea being that they would have to be located. Mr. Cornelius
was advised that if INSLEMAN refuses to turn the camera over to the FBI,
Mr. Donegan, the Assistant to the AG who is handling this case, has stated
he will arrange for a subpoena to be issued, thus compelling INSLEMAN to
turn the camera over to us. I suggested that we do not have recourse to
the subpoena unless we have to and also pointed out that we would have to
clear with the Bureau before serving the subpoena.

Mr. Cornelius stated that the Albany office would attempt to
obtain the two cameras. He further stated that INSLEMAN's landlord in
Baltimore should probably be questioned along these lines since he might
have information regarding the camera INSLEMAN was using at that time and
also regarding the disposition of the camera. I suggested to Mr. Cornelius
that, after Albany has talked to INSLEMAN, Baltimore be included.
December 30, 1948
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teletype and in that way, Albany could make sure that Baltimore has obtained all possible information from INSLEMAN's former landlord.

Mr. Cornelius inquired whether Mr. Fletcher commented on the value of the film obtained from INSLEMAN. I informed Mr. Cornelius that Mr. Fletcher had stated that the film had no value to instant case. I also told Mr. Cornelius that the bureau was forwarding the documents to us inasmuch as Mr. Donegan wants to review them before he talks to INSLEMAN before the Grand Jury next week.

Mr. Cornelius inquired whether the enlarger used by INSLEMAN would be of any value to the Bureau or whether it had been used in connection with any of the material obtained by Albany from INSLEMAN and forwarded to the bureau. I informed Mr. Cornelius that I did not know of any material on which the enlarger was used.

A. H. BELMONT
ASAC
NEW YORK 1 FROM CHICAGO
SAC
URGENT

JAY DAVID WHITTAKER CHAMBERS, WAS., ALGER HISS, ETAL, PERJURY, ESP
DASH R. REURTEL DECEMBER TWENTYNINE LAST. CHICAGO INDICES DO NOT
REFLECT THAT U. S. STEEL CORP. WAS CONTACTED RE AZIMOV OR MORRIS
ASIMOV. ADVISE IMMEDIATELY AS TO CHICAGO COMMUNICATION REFLECTING
THIS CONTACT.

MC SWAIN
END AND ACK PLS
CGO R-1 NY
NEW YORK 13 FROM WASH FIELD

SAC.........URGENT

JAY DAVID WHITTAKER CHAMBERS, WAS, ET AL, ESPIONAGE DASH R, PERJURY.

REMYTEL DECEMBER SEVENTEEN RE: INTERVIEW OF GEOFFREY AND ELIZABETH
MAY. SUTEL RESULTS.

HOTTEL

HOLD PL$
NEW YORK FROM WASH
CORRECTION ON MSG SENT AT 453PM NO 27 RE J.D. WHITTAKER CHAMBER OF
WAS, ETAL, PERJURY

IN LINE ELEVEN BETWEEN FIRST AND SECOND WORDS PLS INSERT FOLLOWING
"WOODSTOCK NUMBER ONE TWO NAUGHT TWO EIGHT NAUGHT, PURCHASED BY
MERCURY BUSINESS MCXXX MACHINE CO., NYC, SEPTEMBER TENXXX TWENTY-SIX,
NINETEEN FORTY SIX"

END

OK TU
NY 2 FROM DA 51 5-29 PM ANS

SAC NEW YORK U R G E N T

JAY DAVID WHITTAKER CHAMBERS, PERJURY ESPIONAGE R.

RELET F R E D  DEC TWENTYTH INstant WITH WHICH W A S S U B I M E D A L I S T

OF DOCUMENTS IN THE POSSESSION OF PROFESSOR JOHN LEROY KELLEY, HAROLD

ALPARD, SECURITY OFFICER OF THE BALLISTIC RESEARCH LABORATORIES,

ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND, ADVISED KELLEY HAS COMPLETE AUTHORITY TO HAVE

ALL DOCUMENTS LISTED. BALTIMORE CI ONE NAUGHT THREE ADVISED KELLEY ARR

AT ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND TODAY DEC THIRTYONE AND PRECEDED HIS VISIT

BY MAILING A POSTCARD ADDRESSED TO FRANK REO ADVISING REO HE KELLEY

HAD BEEN SUBPOELED BEFORE THE NYC GRAND JURY. KELLEY HOPED TO SEE

RENO ACCORDING TO CARD.

MC FARLIN

END

DA R 2 NY
At 4:20 today, I advised Mr. H. B. Fletcher of the Bureau that we had called Albany concerning INS LE R and had ascertained that he had not returned to his home. I further informed Mr. Fletcher that Albany stated that due to the storm, they doubt that anyone will be able to get to Albany inasmuch as the railroad bridges are down.

A. H. BELMONT
ASAC
MEMORANDUM

Re: JAY DAVID WHITTAKER CHAMBERS, with aliases
ALGER HISS, et al;
PERJURY
ESPIONAGE - R

Pursuant to the request of ASAC Belmont, I advised SAC Cornelius at Albany at 9:40 a.m., 12-30-48, that the Bureau desired the Albany Office to obtain, if possible, from FELIX INSFR'AN his Leica camera. The Bureau desired to conduct Laboratory examinations of test shots taken with this camera to see if any identification could be made which would indicate that this camera was used to take the known documents in this case.

I also advised Mr. Cornelius that the Bureau also desired that a check be made of the toll calls made on INSFR'AN'S phone.

A. J. TUOHY,
Special Agent

AJT:RAA
65-14920
United States Department of Justice
Federal Bureau of Investigation

New York, New York
December 31, 1948

RE: JAY DAVID WHITTAKER CHAMBERS, et al.
PERJURY
INTERNAL SECURITY - R
ESPIONAGE - R

At 9:15 am today, I advised Mr. H. B. Fletcher of the Bureau that SA Ed Hummer delivered the material from the Bureau which is to be turned over to Mr. Donegan for review before he questions INSLEMAN before the GJ next week.

I inquired of Mr. Fletcher what the Air Force said about the material obtained from INSLEMAN. Mr. Fletcher advised that Air Force experts were looking the material over to determine where it should be sent for classification when it had to be returned to the Bureau for transmittal to New York. The Air Force advised that they are not in a position to pass on the material locally and Mr. Fletcher advised the Bureau will probably have to take it to Wright Field. I informed Mr. Fletcher that when INSLEMAN was questioned by the agents regarding the material, INSLEMAN said some of it was school notes and some was material he obtained from REPUBLIC. He admitted he was not supposed to have possession of the material but said everybody took it. I pointed out to Mr. Fletcher that the chance of breaking INSLEMAN depends on how much pressure we can put on him in connection with the material found in his possession. Mr. Fletcher stated it will take about two weeks to get a reply from the Army as to how secret and confidential the material is and for that reason no pressure can be placed on INSLEMAN.

Mr. Fletcher inquired whether we located INSLEMAN yet and I advised him we had not. He further inquired as to what Mr. Donegan had said relative to CYNTHIA JONES. I informed Mr. Fletcher that Mr. Donegan had instructed that CYNTHIA JONES be interviewed; that we interviewed her and a teletype was sent to the Bureau last night setting out the results of the interview. I told Mr. Fletcher that CYNTHIA JONES admitted knowing HISS but knew nothing about his activities.

Mr. Fletcher inquired whether we are ever contacted by anybody from the House Committee on Un-American activities and I informed him we are not. Mr. Fletcher instructed that, in the event we are ever contacted by anyone from the House Committee, under no circumstances are we to furnish them any information.

[Signature]

F. B. I.

DEC 31 1948

65-14920
Mr. Fletcher referred to the release of Congressman MUNDT in this morning’s papers to the effect that CHAMBERS made 52 trips to New York with documents and that he took $10,000 to the West Coast to finance his operations. I told Mr. Fletcher that we had the article he referred to and that we are concerned about the CHAMBERS situation. I explained that CHAMBERS is like BENTLEY in that he has apparently has a tremendous amount of information, but that unlike BENTLEY, whom we questioned thoroughly day after day for a period of time, CHAMBERS has never been exhaustively interviewed by us. I informed Mr. Fletcher that we questioned CHAMBERS between his appearances before the Grand Jury but that these circumstances were not conducive to a complete and thorough interview. Before CHAMBERS appeared before the Grand Jury, the Bureau would not allow us to contact CHAMBERS except on specific matters passed on by the bureau. Mr. Fletcher was informed that I instructed the agents that the next time CHAMBERS is contacted, his background and personal history should be obtained so that we can protect ourselves against surprise on cross examination. I pointed out that it is necessary for us to ascertain certain facts concerning CHAMBERS, such as the report that he spent some time in a hospital, because if CHAMBERS is discredited on the stand, I further advised Mr. Fletcher that someone in the Bureau is going to have to have the opportunity, which has never been present so far, to thoroughly interview CHAMBERS so as to obtain all possible information from him. I pointed out that CHAMBERS had never mentioned before the fact that he took $10,000 to the West Coast. I advised Mr. Fletcher that I have asked the agents to check with Mr. Donegan to determine if CHAMBERS will be appearing before the Grand Jury next week and to make arrangements with Mr. Donegan for CHAMBERS to be turned over to us for several days in order that we might interview him. Mr. Fletcher stated that CHAMBERS will be in New York next week before the Grand Jury, and that he could be held in New York on the subpoena. I explained to Mr. Fletcher that in the past, when CHAMBERS has been under subpoena by the GJ, he has been used every day. The agents would get him from the GJ and talk to him for a while, and then CHAMBERS would have to go back before the GJ. I reiterated to Mr. Fletcher that someone in the bureau has to get hold of CHAMBERS and interview him for several days.

Mr. Fletcher advised that the Bureau wants CHAMBERS interviewed by us. He stated that Colonel RYKOV and others have never been identified by us. Mr. Fletcher stated that in checking the files trying to find out why we have never identified these people, it has been revealed that CHAMBERS has never been interviewed regarding any of these individuals. Mr. Fletcher stated that we should ascertain how CHAMBERS met them the first time, how he introduced them, how did he contact them after the first meeting, how he became involved in the espionage ring in the first place; how did he meet...
HISS or any of the other subjects; did he have phone numbers where he could contact the subjects; how did he arrange to meet them; who sent him to the west coast; who gave him the money and was it in the form of cash or checks.

Mr. Fletcher stated that all these points should be cleared up when CHAMBERS is thoroughly interviewed.

Mr. Fletcher inquired whether the trial of ALCER HISS has been postponed until February 24th. I informed Mr. Fletcher that I had read this in the papers and that the agents were checking this morning to ascertain if this were true. I told him I would call him back on this. Mr. Fletcher instructed that if the trial of HISS has been postponed until February 24th, the deadline for all investigation to be completed in this case on the CHAMBERS-HISS angle is January 31st. Mr. Fletcher stated the Bureau is sending a 17-page letter to this office and other interested offices, setting out leads to be covered. He pointed out that some of the leads may have been covered already. Mr. Fletcher stated that the Bureau is going into all that CHAMBERS has told us. The Bureau is setting out leads to interview all persons named by him who are available in the U.S. and who are not dead. Then, the Bureau is going into the HEDE MASSING group at the same time inasmuch as her name has appeared in the papers and she will probably be appearing before the House Committee. In connection with HEDE MASSING, all persons named by her will have to be interviewed. The same investigation will have to be conducted relative to the NICK DOSENBERG group, which ties in with the HEDE MASSING group. Mr. Fletcher stated that this is a widespread and all-out investigation requiring a great deal of supervision, and if it is going to serve a useful purpose, it has to be completed before the HISS trial.

I advised Mr. Fletcher that we have been working along these lines, trying to get as much done as possible in advance of the HISS trial.

Mr. Fletcher stated that the two immediate objectives to be accomplished are: 1) to complete the interview of CHAMBERS in full detail, and 2) to prove that this is actually an espionage matter. Mr. Fletcher pointed out that up to now, BYKOV is just a name and we have to prove that he is actually a person. I mentioned to Mr. Fletcher that San Francisco has suggested that BYKOV might be ZUBILIN. Mr. Fletcher instructed that we make arrangements to interview CHAMBERS next week and I told him that the agents were contacting Mr. Donegan this morning relative to this. I further told Mr. Fletcher that as a matter of fact, our agents had suggested that CHAMBERS be interviewed by the Baltimore office while he was down there, but that inasmuch as he is coming to New York next week, we will make the arrangements up here.
Mr. Fletcher made reference to the statement in the MUNDT report to the effect that the House Committee has identified letters written to an insurance company by FANSLER, father-in-law of HISS. Mr. Fletcher stated that the laboratory had examined these letters and could not come to any conclusion on them.

A. H. BELMONT
ASAC
SAC WASHINGTON, DC

JAY DAVID WHITTAKER CHAMBERS, WA ALGER HESS, PERJURY, ESPIONAGE – R.

PHIL RENO WORKED DIRECTLY UNDER WILLIAM H. DILLINGHAM WHILE WITH
SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION, DENVER, COLORADO, IN NINETEEN FORTY
ONE, FORTY TWO. DILLINGHAM REPORTEDLY NOW CHIEF OF FISCAL STANDARDS
SECTION OF THE BUREAU OF EMPLOYMENT SECURITY IN WASHINGTON, DC. LOCATE
AND INTERVIEW DILLINGHAM RE RENO IN ACCORDANCE WITH SIMILAR PREVIOUS
REQUESTS THIS CASE.

KRAMER

END
EOJ/mkg

65-668-

CC: NEW YORK CITY (AMSD)
SAC, Baltimore

SAC, New York

JAY DAVID WHITTAKER CHAMBERS, with aliases
ALDER HISS, et al;
PFEIJURY
ESPIIONAGE - R

Enclosed herewith is a photograph of DAVID ZIMMERMAN, alias David Carpenter, and Mrs. INEZ NUNLEY WRIGHT. This photograph was originally left at the New York Office by Special Agent Daniel F. X. Callahan of the Baltimore Office. Copies of the enclosed photograph have been made and are being retained in the files of the New York Office.
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Enclosure
At 9:15 am today, Mr. H. B. Fletcher of the Bureau advised that before CYNTHIA JONES is interviewed, we should clear with Mr. Donegan.

Mr. Fletcher referred to our request of the Albany office that certain checks be made regarding INSLEMAN, that is, that inquiries be made of the Postmaster and the mail carrier and that a mail cover be placed on INSLEMAN. Mr. Fletcher suggested that we also obtain his toll charge records to see with whom he has been in contact. He stated that we should consider checking toll calls on the other subjects in this case also.

I inquired of Mr. Fletcher whether we should instruct the Albany office to forward INSLEMAN's camera to the Bureau. He stated he would check on this and let me know this morning.

At 9:30 am today, Mr. Fletcher called back to advise that the laboratory is of the opinion that information of value might be obtained by checking the camera belonging to INSLEMAN. Mr. Fletcher stated that the laboratory not only wants to see the camera but they want to run the film they have against the house film to see if something could be developed. I told Mr. Fletcher that we would get in touch with Albany and instruct them to forward the camera to the Bureau. Mr. Fletcher advised that it was not necessary to send it via agent courier, but that it should be forwarded registered mail.

A. H. DELMONT
ASAC
On December 29, 1948, the writer reviewed the Grand Jury testimony of WHITAKER CHAMBERS on his appearance before the Grand Jury on December 17 and 20, 1948. Particular reference is made to CHAMBERS' testimony on December 17, in which he goes into great detail concerning his separation from the open Communist Party movement in 1930 and his subsequent entry into the underground movement of the Communist Party sometime in 1931. During the period covering several years after his original entry into the underground he operated in New York City, and it appears that he did courier or liaison work and was the link between "AX BEDACHT, the leader of the open Communist Party group, and the underground movement during this period.

In conferring with Mr. Donegan in connection with "AX BEDACHT, it was the latter's opinion that since BEDACHT was no longer in the Party he might be an extremely valuable witness in confirming the story related by CHAMBERS in connection with the latter's activity in the Communist Party underground in New York City. Mr. Donegan suggested that if it has not already been done that consideration be given to locating and interviewing BEDACHT at the earliest possible moment.

"Mr. Donegan was also questioned concerning his opinion on the desirability of interviewing JAY PETERS, it being pointed out that PETERS would probably be the least recalcitrant. Mr. Donegan declared that efforts should be made to locate PETERS, and when he is located he would like to have him interviewed and have a subpoena served on him for appearance before the Grand Jury.

Mr. Donegan related, after reading one of the reports concerning the attempted location of typewriting specimens on the HISS - Fansler typewriter, that PRIYSCILLA HISS was an avid reader of the poetry of WALT WHITMAN, the late and quite famous Brooklyn poet. He declared that it is his recollection that there is a Walt Whitman Society in Brooklyn and that the location of this organization might be determined through the Brooklyn Public Library. He made
the suggestion that if she was a member of this society or in any way connected with it there might be some correspondence located at the headquarters of this society.

In connection with the report of Special Agent Waylan F. Cotter dated 12-23-48 at Detroit, Michigan, there is a lead set out to determine whether Priscilla Hiss might have submitted a treatise to Bryn Mawr College when she attended there. Mr. Donegan suggested that we amplify this lead and determine through the Registrar's Office whether any correspondence is on file at the college resulting from the mailing of questionnaires or other material concerning sororities that Mrs. Hiss might have belonged to while she was attending Bryn Mawr.

T. G. SPENCER, Special Agent

Teletype sent to Detroit 11/4/49
FBI, PHOENIX 12-30-48 1-22 PM FC
SACS, DENVER AND NEW YORK CITY U R G E N T
JAY DAVID WHITTAKER CHAHEERS, PFRJURY. RE DENVER REP DECEMBER TWENTY FOUR LAST. FRANKLIN VICTOR RENO LOCATED AT HESSER HOSPITAL, BENSON, ARIZONA, WHERE HAS BEEN CONFINE IN DECEMBER TWENTY THREE. ADMITTED AFTER HAVING BEEN DRINKING TO EXCESS. NOW DIAGNOSED AS BEING SOMEWHAT IRATIONAL. WILL NOTIFY THIS OFFICE UPON LEAVING BENSON.
MURPHY

ACK IN 0 PLS
DI! OK FBI DI! BRC
NY OK FBI NYC EIL
DISC PLS
Re: JAY DAVID WHITAKER CHAMBERS, with alias ALFRED HISS, et al; PERJURY; ESPIONAGE - R

At 5:20 p.m., 12-30-48, I spoke with SAC Cornelius at Albany, informing him that we had interviewed JOAN MORENO, the younger whom the INSLER'ANS had entertained over the Christmas holidays in conjunction with the New York Herald Tribune Fresh Air Fund, and she said that INSLER'AN had come to New York last Sunday night and that she had been brought to New York by Mrs. INSLER'AN on December 29. She could not furnish any information as to where the INSLER'ANS could be located in New York City, knowing merely that they were staying with "rs INSLER'AN'S parents, whose address she did not know. I also advised that we had conducted some inquiries in an effort to locate the RYNERS, but have been unsuccessful, since they apparently are not listed in the available directories. According to JOAN MORENO, Mrs. INSLER'AN planned on returning to Cambridge, New York, some time on December 31. I advised that we had checked the State Charity Aid Association, 105 East 22nd Street, which was the location of the telephone call made by the INSLER'ANS from their Cambridge phone to New York City, and had been informed that Miss GRAFF has not been employed there for the past year, and that we could obtain no information there relative to a New York address for the INSLER'ANS.

SAC Cornelius advised that "rs. INSLER'AN'S father's name is JAN WILLIAM RYNERS and that "rs. INSLER'AN was born in New York City on February 18, 1915.

On the afternoon of 12-31-48 I again spoke with "r. Cornelius, advising that T. J. Donegan has requested that INSLER'AN, when located, be instructed to report to Room 607 in the U. S. Court House instead of appearing on the 14th floor as is called for in the subpoena which is to be served upon him. I explained that the reason for this is that by not appearing at the 14th floor he will be able to evade being interviewed by newspaper men who are regularly congregated there.

"r. Cornelius stated that they have developed no information today regarding INSLER'AN'S whereabouts and doubt if they will get into Cambridge.
today because of a severe snow storm and because of the flooded conditions in that area.

A. J. TUOHY,
Special Agent
United States Department of Justice  
Federal Bureau of Investigation  
New York, New York  
January 3, 1949

MEMO

RE: JAY DAVID WHITTAKER CHAMBERS, et. al  
PERJURY  
INTERNAL SECURITY - R  
ESPIONAGE - R

At 10:40 am today I called SAC Cornelius of the Albany office and 
inquired whether INSLEMAN had been located. Mr. Cornelius advised that he 
had not. He further stated that, due to conditions resulting from the storm, 
INSLEMAN could not have returned to Albany nor could he have phoned his 
home since the telephones have been out of order since December 31st, and 
as of today, the service has not been replaced. Mr. Cornelius stated that 
an agent has been sent to INSLEMAN's home this morning but has not called 
the office yet. Mr. Cornelius inquired when INSLEMAN was due to report 
on subpoena and I advised him that INSLEMAN was scheduled to report at 
10:00 this morning, but had not shown up yet. I told Mr. Cornelius we 
were anxious to learn what results were obtained regarding the cameras. 
Mr. Cornelius advised that the agents ascertained that the Leica camera is 
presently in the INSLEMAN home. The agents also ascertained that INSLEMAN 
has a box camera in his home. Mr. Cornelius stated that the Albany office 
assumed that the Laboratory reported that a Leica and a similar type camera 
were used. I informed Mr. Cornelius that we had not been furnished with 
the laboratory report concerning this; consequently, we would be interested 
in any type of camera.

Mr. Cornelius advised that until telephone service is reinstalled, 
the Albany office will follow this as closely as possible, and he requested 
that he be advised in the event INSLEMAN is located in New York. I told 
him we would let him know and requested that Albany notify us should INSLEMAN 
return to Albany.

A. H. BELMONT  
ASAC
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
NEW YORK, N.Y.

IN REPLY, PLEASE REFER TO
FILE NO.:

MEMORANDUM:

Re: JAY DAVID WHITTAKER CHARGES, with aliases
ALGER HISS, et al;
PERJURY
ESPIONAGE - R

January 3, 1949

At 12:30 I spoke to Supervisor Delavigne of the Washington Field
Office and requested the home and business address of EUNICE LINCOLN, who
had been interviewed by the Washington Field Office on December 14.

He called back and advised that her home address was 1028 Con-
nnecticut Avenue, N.W., Apt. 738, Washington, D.C., and her business ad-
dress was Room 261A, New State Department Building, Washington, D.C.

J. T. HILSBOS,
Special Agent

JTH: RAA
65-14920

Walt Beck, datyta
advised 12:07 P.M.
T. A. Henry

_approved

Kelly
FBI NEW HAVEN 12-30-48 3-10 PM

SAC NEW YORK

URGENT

J. DAVID WHITTAKER CHAMBERS, was., etal., PERJURY, IS-R. FREDERICK WANDERBILT FIELD-interviewed by agents at home at New Hartford, Conn. This date. Declined to discuss relations with Laurence Duggan pending consultation with attorney. Will consult attorney January third next at NYC and will thereafter advise SA John J. Danahy of attitude.

A AND H PLS

B OK FBI NYC MAR
At 3:30 pm today, SAC Cornelius called and I advised him that INSLEMAN had appeared in New York before the Grand Jury today. He was accompanied by his attorney, former AUSA Louis Bender. I further advised Mr. Cornelius that INSLEMAN stated he had three cameras and his attorney has refused to turn these over to the FBI. These cameras are a Leica, a box and a German-make camera. I informed Mr. Cornelius that INSLEMAN and his attorney are going to have a conference with Mr. Donegan after 3:30 pm today, after which we will have more information as to whether or not INSLEMAN will turn these cameras over to us.

Mr. Cornelius advised me that Agent O'Toole is at the INSLEMAN house at the present time. It was ascertained from Mrs. WADE, the woman who is staying at the INSLEMAN house during the absence of Mr. and Mrs. INSLEMAN, that Mrs. INSLEMAN called Mrs. WADE last Thursday, at which time Mrs. WADE advised Mrs. INSLEMAN that the FBI wanted to get in touch with Mr. INSLEMAN. Mrs. WADE inquired of Mrs. INSLEMAN where INSLEMAN was but Mrs. INSLEMAN would not tell her. Mrs. WADE then informed Mrs. INSLEMAN that if INSLEMAN did not want to give his address, he should get in touch with Mr. Belmont of the New York office. Mrs. INSLEMAN said she would take care of this. Mr. Cornelius stated that Mrs. WADE then called the Albany office to advise that the message had been delivered to the INSLEMANs.

I told Mr. Cornelius that the Bureau is very anxious to obtain these cameras. I further informed Mr. Cornelius that the matter of a search warrant has been discussed with Mr. Donegan and that both he and Mr. Whearty are of the opinion that the cameras can be obtained without search warrants. Mr. Cornelius was advised that I also discussed the matter of the cameras with Mr. BENDER. I stated to Mr. Cornelius that if INSLEMAN will not give up the cameras without a search warrant, that a warrant will have to be drawn up and I pointed out that it could be drawn up on the authority of Mr. Donegan. I mentioned to Mr. Cornelius that in the event INSLEMAN gives consent for us to take the cameras, Agents O'Toole and Mahoney, who are staying near the INSLEMAN house, could obtain them. In the event a search warrant has to be drawn up, Mr. Cornelius stated it could not be served after dark and he requested that Mr. Donegan prepare it so that the search can be expedited.

65-14920
Mr. Cornelius further suggested that the search warrant be made sufficiently complete to include all camera equipment. I informed Mr. Cornelius we would call him after we found out whether INSLEMAN is willing to turn the cameras over to us, or whether a warrant will be issued.

Mr. Cornelius stated the Albany office has some information which may indicate where INSLEMAN was staying in New York. One is the name and address Mrs. J. W. RINDERS, 909 Summit Avenue, New York 52. The agents noted this name and address on a package in the INSLEMAN house. The other bit of information is the telephone number UL 5-8077. Mrs. WADE advised the Albany agents that Mrs. INSLEMAN had called INSLEMAN at this number.

FRANK J. O'BRIEN
SA
MEMORANDUM:

Re: JAY DAVID WHITTAKER CHAMBERS, with aliases
ALGER HISS, et al;
PERJURY
ESPIONAGE - R

The following agents are being assigned to work on the Hiss-Chambers Special:

Danahy, J. J.  Martin, J. P.  O'Keefe, RFX  Simmons, J. B.
Gallant, R. J.  McCarthy, W. J.  Plant, F. X.  Spencer, T. G.
Hilsbos, J. T.  O'Brien, F. D.  Shannon, D. E.  Spillane, L. W.

They are expected to immediately thoroughly familiarize themselves with the pertinent phases of this investigation. It will be their responsibility to have a complete and thorough knowledge of the case so that they can immediately cover any lead or interview assigned to them. All leads are to be handled at once and the results of the leads are to be immediately brought to the attention of the desk so that the information, if necessary, can be immediately furnished to the Bureau.

The Bureau considers this case of the utmost importance. It has set January 31 as the deadline for all investigation to be completed. It is important that all investigation assigned to you be handled immediately, thoroughly, and intelligently.

A. J. TUCHY,
Supervisor
At 5:30 pm on January 3, 1949, I advised Mr. H. A. Fletcher of
the Bureau that Mr. Donegan had informed me that INSLEMAN had appeared
before the Grand Jury on 1/3/49 and that he is being represented by
former AUSA LOU BENDER. I further advised Mr. Fletcher that BENDER will
not allow INSLEMAN to talk. INSLEMAN was questioned concerning his
cameras and refuses to turn them over. As far as can be determined,
INSLEMAN has three cameras, a Leica, a box and a German-made camera.
I told Mr. Fletcher that agents of the Albany office have seen the Leica
and the box cameras in INSLEMAN's house. Mr. Fletcher was advised that
Mr. Donegan spent considerable time with BENDER trying to make him "see
the light" and have him turn the cameras over voluntarily, but BENDER
refused to do this. I told Mr. Fletcher that Mr. Donegan is going to
speak to BENDER on 1/4/49, and if BENDER refuses to turn the cameras over,
a search warrant will be considered.

I advised Mr. Fletcher that I pointed out to Mr. Donegan that,
after all the questions concerning these cameras, it would be surprising
if the cameras were still at the INSLEMAN house tomorrow morning (1/4/49).
Mr. Donegan advised me that they are trying to get BENDER's cooperation in
this matter and also pointed out that a search warrant could not have been
issued today (1/3/49).

I then called SAC Cornelius of the Albany office to advise him of
the above information. I further advised Mr. Cornelius that INSLEMAN re-
used to discuss by whom he was employed during the pertinent years. I
also told Mr. Cornelius that BENDER has indicated that the cameras are not
at the INSLEMAN house. Regarding the search warrant, I informed Mr.
Cornelius that Mr. Donegan is going to try to get BENDER to cooperate and
accede to turning over the cameras voluntarily, and that a search warrant
will not be contemplated until at least 1/4/49. I told Mr. Cornelius I
would keep him advised concerning this matter. Mr. Cornelius mentioned that
Mrs. WADE will still at the INSLEMAN house and that she is willing to
cooperate with the Bureau.
Transmit the following Teletype message to: SAC, SAN FRANCISCO (URGENT)

JAY DAVID WHITTAKER CHAMBERS, WAS, ALGER HISS, ETAL, PFRJURY, ESPIONAGE.

ATTEMPTS ARE BEING MADE TO SECURE SPECIMENS OF TYPED MATERIAL EXAMINING
FROM THE HISS FAMILY SO THAT COMPARISON MAY BE MADE WITH KNOWN SPECIMENS OF
TYPED MATERIAL IN POSSESSION OF THE BUREAU. IN THIS CONNECTION YOU WILL
INTERVIEW JACK SUTRO, AN ATTORNEY, SAN FRANCISCO, WITH WHOM ALGER HISS RESIDED
WHILE ATTENDING UNITED NATIONS CONFERENCE. THEY ARE REPUTED ALSO TO BE CLASS-
ATES. SECURE ANY TYPED MATERIAL IN SUTROS POSESSION WHICH CAME TO
HIM FROM ANY MEMBER OF THE HISS FAMILY. SUBMIT SAME AND TO BUREAU FOR COM-
PARISON WITH KNOWN SPECIMENS THIS CASE.

SCHMIDT

Approved: Special Agent in Charge

Sent 1/5/49

Per
DATE: Nov. 29, 1949

ENTIRE FILE

CLASS NUMBER LAST SERIAL

SERIALS: 657

OF: 65 - 14920

Lab. rpt. 1/7/49
re: Negative film

Transferred to Sub-file "R"

LOCATION

SPECIAL AGENT

RECHARGE

DATE

TO

FROM
United States Department of Justice  
Federal Bureau of Investigation

New York, New York  
January 4, 1949

RE: JAY DAVID WHITTAKER CHAMBERS, et. al.
PERJURY
ESPIONAGE - R

At 9:35 am today, in the absence of Mr. H. H. Fletcher of the bureau, I advised Mr. Leo Laughlin that Mr. Donegan has requested that we interview MAX bedacht. I informed Mr. Laughlin that bedacht is an old-time official of the Communist Party and has been a prominent figure in it for years. He recently moved to New Jersey where he is in semi-retirement, and he was expelled from the New Jersey faction of the Party due to a disagreement on policies. I told Mr. Laughlin that he is regarded as a brower man. It was pointed out to Mr. Laughlin that we have no reason to believe that bedacht would be cooperative; nevertheless, Mr. Donegan would like us to interview him immediately because CHAMBERS, in testifying before the CJ, made the statement that in 1932, bedacht called him in and requested him to assist in the underground and arranged with CHAMBERS to meet an agent of the underground by the name of ARTHUR. Through ARTHUR, CHAMBERS met an individual by the name of BORIS. According to CHAMBERS, he acted as liaison between the underground and bedacht for about a year - 1932-1933. CHAMBERS further indicated that he has not been in touch with BEDACHT since that time. I further told Mr. Laughlin that Mr. Donegan is of the opinion that BEDACHT might be called before the house committee on the basis of this testimony of CHAMBERS, and consequently wants BEDACHT interviewed and served with a subpoena at the end of the interview.

I advised Mr. Laughlin that Mr. Donegan would like New York agents familiar with instant case to conduct the interview. Mr. Laughlin was informed that we would send one agent who is working on this case and one agent who handled the bedacht file before it was transferred to Newark.

Mr. Laughlin stated he would check on this and let me know if the Bureau approved this action.

Mr. Laughlin called back and advised that the bureau approved our interviewing bedacht as set out above. He further stated that a 17-page letter containing suggested leads to be covered in instant case was sent out by the bureau yesterday and one of the suggested leads was to interview bedacht.

A. H. BELMONT  
ASAC
MEMO

RE: JAY DAVID WHITTAKER CHAMBERS, et. al.
PERJURY
ESPIONAGE - R

At 2:05 p.m. today, Miss NORMA ABRAMS of the "Daily News", and Mr. LEWIS of the "Herald Tribune", came in to see me. They advised that someone speaking for INSLEHERMAN (whom they would not identify but it probably was Mr. LOU BENDER, his attorney) had told the press that agents of the FBI had searched INSLEHERMAN's home, after which INSLEHERMAN was served with a subpoena to appear before the GJ.

These representatives of the press wanted to know whether we had, in fact, searched Mr. INSLEHERMAN's home, if so, what we had found, and why INSLEHERMAN was before the GJ. I advised them that Mr. INSLEHERMAN was a witness before the GJ and consequently he was in the hands of the GJ, and that I did not feel privileged to make any comment concerning him. Mr. LEWIS then advised that he understood that INSLEHERMAN had been employed for a short time by the State Department. I made no comment. Miss ABRAMS then wanted to know if we could tell them where INSLEHERMAN lived; that they understood it was upstate somewhere; further, she would like to know if he had a telephone and if the telephone were listed. I advised that I was unable to make any comment in reply to these questions, and reiterated that INSLEHERMAN was a witness before the GJ and as such, any statement concerning him would have to come from Mr. Donovan.

A. H. BELMONT
ASAC
At 5:40 PM, I called Mr. E. B. Fletcher at the Bureau to advise him that the Agents had just returned from their interview with Frederick Vanderbilt Field. His attorney was with him at the time of the interview. He asked that the Agents furnish him with a complete transcript of the remarks of Laurence Duggan when the Agents interviewed Duggan and at which time Duggan allegedly referred to Field. The Agents refused to give him this. He thereupon stated that inasmuch as the FBI had intentionally leaked information concerning the interview with Duggan, as a result of which Field's name was blackened in the newspapers throughout the country, he would not further discuss the matter, but had prepared a statement which set forth his views concerning the matter. He thereupon furnished the Agents with a page and a half typed statement on his own stationery, unsigned, in which he alleged that the FBI had intentionally leaked this information to Drew Pearson, as a result of which his name was blackened, and in which he denied any and all complicity in approaching Duggan. I advised Mr. Fletcher that the Agents had denied any such leak on the part of the FBI, whereupon Field then stated it was the Department of Justice. Mr. Fletcher was advised that the statement would be put on the teletype to the Bureau tonight in view of the possibility of publicity, that is that Field might give this statement to the papers, although he had not so stated.

A. H. BELMONT
ASAC

AHB: MT
TO: SAC, New York
FROM: SAC, Baltimore
SUBJECT: JAY DAVID WHITTAKER CHAMBERS
PERJURY; INTERNAL SECURITY - R;
ESPIONAGE - R

DATE: 12/31/48

Reference report of SA FRANK G. JOHNSTONE dated December 23, 1948 at Baltimore, Maryland.

Further investigation by this office of associates of FRANKLIN VICTOR RENO at Aberdeen Proving Ground, Aberdeen, Maryland reveals that the following two individuals were closely associated with RENO:

Dr. JOSEPH LEVIN,
National Bureau of Standards,
Washington, D.C.

Dr. E. F. HUBBLE,
Mt. Wilson Observatory,
Mt. Wilson, California.

The Washington Field and the Los Angeles Office at Mt. Wilson, California, respectively, will interview LEVIN and HUBBLE in accordance with Bureau instructions as set out in pages 25 and 26 of referenced report.

JCP:asg
65-1642

cc: Washington Field
Los Angeles
New York 7, New York
January 5, 1949

RE: JAY DAVID WHITTAKER CHAMBERS
PERJURY
ESPIONAGE - R

Mr. NELSON FRANK of the "World-Telegram" called me this morning and advised that of possible interest to the present CHAMBERS-HISS inquiry was CHARLES FLATO, whom he said he knew as a Communist while FLATO was working for the "PA.

Mr. FRANK said that FLATO formerly worked for the Department of Agriculture, the National Labor Relations Board and he believes the Board of Economic Warfare in Washington. He described FLATO as a "small gnome of a man, a hunchback."

ROBERT R. GRAVILLE, SA
Federal Bureau of Investigation
United States Department of Justice
New York, N. Y.

Re: JAY DAVID WHITTAKER CHAMBERS, with alias
ALGER HISS, et al;
FTRJURY
ESPIONAGE - R

January 1949

Assistant Attorney General T. J. Donegan advised the writer that he held a conference with "r. Edward C. 'Clean' attorney for Alger Hiss, and stated that he has told "r. 'Clean' that he will make available to him the 65 original documents turned over by Chambers at the time deposition was taken in Baltimore, Maryland, on November 17, 1948. "r. Donegan suggested that an expert from the Laboratory bring the documents to New York the latter part of next week, probably on Thursday. He desired the documents to be personally accompanied in order that the chain of evidence would not be broken. The documents are to be examined by an expert engaged by "r. 'Clean'.

Secondly, "r. Donegan has advised "r. 'Clean' that he will furnish to him the letter of September, 1936, written by Alger Hiss to the Newcomb School in Washington, D. C., concerning Hiss' stepson, Timothy Hobson. It is to be noted that this letter was introduced into the Grand Jury by an agent from the Washington Field Office, and an expert from the Laboratory testified that the typing thereon was identical with the typing in the original document.

Thirdly, "r. Donegan has requested that two photographic copies of the microfilm documents be made available to him. The photographic copies, he believes, were taken by the FBI Laboratory after they were turned over to the Bureau by the House Un-American Affairs Committee. These are the photographic copies that "r. Donegan desired.

F. D. O'BRIEN,
Special Agent
McDonald

Federal Bureau of Investigation
United States Department of Justice
New York, N. Y.

January 4, 1949

"EORANDU"

Re: JAY DAVID WHITTAKER CHARLESTON, with aliases
ALGER HISS, et al;
PERJURY
ESPIONAGE - R

At 10:10 a.m., January 4, I called Supervisor Sam Riley of Newark and advised him that in connection with the quintessential case Assistant to AG T. J. Donegan had requested that "AX BEDAHT", who is presently residing in Frenchtown, New Jersey, should be interviewed. I advised him that because of the ramifications of the HISS case and the fact that BEDAHT had only recently moved to the New Jersey area, it is believed more feasible to have two agents from the New York Office interview BEDAHT. I advised him that a subpoena for his appearance before the Grand Jury would also be served at the conclusion of the interview. I advised him that Bureau clearance had been secured for both the interview and service of the subpoena. He inquired as to what comment should be made should any publicity ensue from this interview, and I advised him that no comment should be made.

J. T. HILSBOS,
Special Agent

JTH: RAA
65-14920

FBI
FRIDAY, JAN. 5, 1945
N. Y. C.
SAC, NEW YORK AND EL PASO

JAY DAVID WHITTAKER CHAMBERS, WAS, ET AL., PERJURY.

FRANKLIN VICTOR REED LEFT DENISON, ARIZONA, YESTERDAY MORNING, VIA AUTOMOBILE WITH HIS BROTHER PHILIP, FOR ALBUQUERQUE,
NEW MEXICO, WHERE THEY WILL RESIDE AT BROTHER'S RESIDENCE,
ROUTE FIVE, FOR THREE SEVEN EIGHT A, TELEPHONE TWO EIGHT
SEVEN TWO FOUR.

RUC

MURPHY

ACK IN C FIA
NY OR FBI NYC GAP
EF OR FBI TP JL
ISC MLSV
United States Department of Justice
Federal Bureau of Investigation

New York, New York
January 5, 1949

MEMO

RE: JAY DAVID WHITTAKER CHAMBERS, et. al.
PERJURY
ESPIONAGE - E

At 5:15 pm on January 5, 1949, Mr. Tom Ronan, reporter for the "New York Times", called to advise that his office had received a tip, the source of which he did not disclose, that FELIX INSLEMAN had worked for the DAKKO FILM PROCESSING CO in 1937 or 1938 at Baltimore, Maryland. Mr. Ronan advised that this would appear to be significant in this case and he wanted to know whether we would confirm the information. I advised him that inasmuch as this whole matter is a pending investigation, it was impossible for me to make any comment whatsoever.

It is noted that we have information that INSLEMAN did work at this company. Consequently, it is suggested that a teletype be sent to the Bureau and Baltimore advising of this inquiry on the part of the "Times", in order that any check to be made at the DAKKO CO. can be handled at once before publicity relative to INSLEMAN's connection with the company.

A. H. BELMONT
ASAC

AHB: CTC
65-14920
United States Department of Justice
Federal Bureau of Investigation

New York, New York
January 5, 1949

MEMO

RE: JAY DAVID WHITAKER CHAMBERS, et al.
PERJURY
ESPIONAGE - R

At 1:35 pm on January 5, SAC Cornelius of the Albany office called to advise that an agent had attempted to go to Syracuse by automobile last night in order to be available for handling the search warrant which the USA at Syracuse was expected to issue for the location of INSLEHRMAN's cameras. However, due to the icy condition of the roads, the agent had to return and went by train this morning, arriving at Syracuse at 1100 pm this afternoon. The agent is presently waiting for word from the USA at Syracuse who apparently is waiting for word from Mr. Whearty here in New York. Mr. Cornelius wanted to know if there were any developments and pointed out that it may be desired to have the search warrant issued for daylight or evening hours. Mr. Cornelius further wanted to know whether he should call the Bureau to get clearance for the service of the search warrant.

I advised him that INSLEHRMAN had been before the Grand Jury again today and had told the GJ that he had brought the Leica camera down to his mother-in-law's house in the Bronx. Because of this, no definite decision has yet been reached on the issuance of the search warrant at Syracuse. I advised that Mr. Donegan and Mr. Whearty were still attempting to have INSLEHRMAN voluntarily turn in the camera as they would like to avoid the search warrant, if possible. I advised that I would let Mr. Cornelius know immediately telephonically as soon as we had further word on this. I further advised that as soon as we knew what search warrants, if any, were going to be issued, I would discuss them with Mr. Fletcher of the bureau. I advised Mr. Cornelius that I did not believe Bureau authority was necessary to serve search warrants but in view of the nature of this case we would discuss it with Mr. Fletcher.

At 2:40 pm, I called Mr. H. E. Fletcher of the Bureau to acquaint him with the latest developments in this case. Regarding FELIX INSLEHRMAN, I advised Mr. Fletcher that Mr. Donegan and Mr. Whearty have been attempting to get him to cooperate in furnishing the cameras, but that LOU BENDER, attorney for INSLEHRMAN, has refused to let him talk. I told Mr. Fletcher that INSLEHRMAN, as he had been brought before the GJ repeatedly, and that up to last night, they were arranging for a search warrant to be issued at Syracuse, which covers Cambridge where INSLEHRMAN lives. However, when INSLEHRMAN appeared before the GJ today, he stated his wife had brought the Leica camera down to his mother-in-law's house in the Bronx last Monday. His mother-in-law is Mrs. RYDERS at Summit Avenue, Bronx. As a result of this development, we arranged to: 65-14920

65-12590-983
JAN 5 - 1949
N. Y. C.
Donegan and Mr. Whearty are contemplating getting out search warrants this afternoon for Mrs. NYDERS' home for the Leica. I informed Mr. Fletcher that originally, they wanted Agent O'Brien, who is handling this phase of the matter, to make out the affidavit, but inasmuch as the affidavit is to be based on the testimony of INSLEMAN before the GJ, this cannot be done. Mr. Whearty is going to swear out the affidavit and Agent O'Brien can say that he saw the Leica in INSLEMAN's possession. I told Mr. Fletcher it might be possible to serve the search warrant this afternoon to get the Leica.

I further advised Mr. Fletcher that Whearty has been in touch with AUSA Higby at Syracuse, which covers Cambridge, and is attempting to have Higby draw up the affidavit for the search warrant for INSLEMAN's home in Cambridge, which warrant will cover the Erneman-Bob camera, the Kodak and the Leica. Also covered by the warrant will be the enlarger, the filter and trigger mechanisms for the Leica and any other photographic equipment which may be found. Inasmuch as this warrant will also be based on testimony of INSLEMAN before the GJ, which we are not supposed to know, Higby has told Whearty that either Whearty or Donegan will have to fly to Syracuse to sign the affidavit. Agent O'Toole of the Albany office, who also participated in the search of INSLEMAN's house, can say he saw the Leica and the Kodak. I told Mr. Fletcher that I had talked to Mr. Cornelius shortly before and that Mr. Cornelius was apprehensive about serving the warrants without Bureau approval; that I had advised Mr. Cornelius it was not necessary to have Bureau approval to serve a search warrant, but in view of the nature of this case and the unique affidavits, that I would discuss it with Mr. Fletcher.

Mr. Fletcher stated he is attempting to obtain the film from the HCBA so that the laboratory can compare the INSLEMAN film with the microfilm and the film from Baltimore. I told Mr. Fletcher that it was my understanding that any identification would be based not on the lens or any imperfection in the camera, but on the frame and markings put on the film as it is drawn across the frame. On that basis, it is not necessary to have the camera to make an identification. Mr. Fletcher pointed out that that is why the Bureau is anxious to get the House film back so that the tests can be made, and also stated that if an identification is made that INSLEMAN may talk. Mr. Fletcher stated that the Bureau expected to have the film on Monday, 1/10/49.

I then called Mr. Cornelius to advise him of the information which I furnished to Mr. Fletcher. Mr. Cornelius advised me that Agent O'Toole informed him that the USA is very meticulous and is making a careful check on the search warrant. Agent O'Toole spoke to Mr. Whearty when the latter called Mr. Higby and at that time, Mr. Whearty indicated that he definitely wanted a search warrant issued. However, USA Higby, in talking
to Mr. Whearty, received the impression that Whearty did not want to get out
the search warrant but was going to make further efforts to get the material
without the warrant. Mr. Cornelius suggested that Mr. Whearty be apprised
of the meticulousness of USA Higby so that Mr. Whearty can issue the necessary
instructions and Mr. Higby will have no questions about what has to be done.
Further, Mr. Cornelius stated that Agent O'Toole understands that Mr. Higby
feels that someone who talked to CHAMBERS and whom CHAMBERS told about FELIX
should draw up the affidavit; whether it be Whearty or an agent, he does not
care. I told Mr. Cornelius that Mr. Donegan is going to take this up with
Mr. Whearty and one of them is going to fly up to prepare the affidavit,
that they are basing the affidavit on INSLERMAN's statements before the OJ.

Mr. Cornelius suggested that if Whearty gets in touch with USA
Higby and tells him exactly what he wants in the way of affidavits, Higby
could draw them up and Agent O'Toole, who is in Syracuse, could bring them
over to Albany. Whoever comes up from New York to sign the affidavit, would
have to go only to Albany and the affidavit could be filed before the U. S.
Commissioner at Albany. Mr. Cornelius stated that Higby has no objection
to this procedure and the U. S. Commissioner is willing to do this.

I told Mr. Cornelius this would be brought to the attention of
Mr. Whearty and Mr. Donegan and that we will try to have them come to an
understanding with Mr. Higby regarding the search warrant. I told him I
would keep him advised of all developments.

A. H. BELMONT
ASAC
January 5, 1949

"E-Version!"

Re: JAY DAVID WHITTAKER CHAMBERS, with aliases
ALGER HISS, et al;
PFR-JURY
ESPIONAGE - R

This afternoon, while speaking to Mr. Thomas Donegan and Mr. Ray Whearty, they advised that FELIX INSLEH'AN was asked before the Grand Jury whether he had ever signed any security statements or oaths in connection with his positions in various plants, such as the Glenn L. Martin Company, the Republic Aviation Company, and the General Electric Corporation in Schenectady. INSLEH'AN was vague in his replies. Mr. Donegan desires to pin him down on this issue to show knowledge on his part that his work was of a confidential nature and that any papers, etc., which came into his possession must be regarded as such. He requested that we immediately check at the Glenn L. Martin Company, Republic Aviation, and the General Electric Corporation in Schenectady to ascertain whether INSLEH'AN had executed such statements (which are customary) and that copies of these statements be secured and forwarded at once to the New York Office.

"Mr. Donegan further requested that we immediately ascertain whether the Air Force regards the documents taken by agents of the Bureau from INSLEH'AN'S home, which INSLEH'AN removed from the Republic Aviation Company, as secret or confidential in nature. It will be recalled that the Bureau has requested the Air Force to so advise. We should also consider the advisability of directly contacting responsible officials in the Republic Aviation Company for their opinion as to the confidential nature of these documents. It is believed that such a contact should first be cleared by the Bureau.

A teletype should be sent to the Baltimore and Albany Offices and the Bureau reflecting the above requests and requesting immediate action.
COMPLAINT FORM

Felis Kushner
Subject's Name and Aliases

Chef. Edward Marko
Name of Complainant

[Handwritten Address]
Address of Complainant

Phone number
Telephone Number of Complainant

1-5-49 12:00
Date and Time Complaint Received

DESCRIPTION OF SUBJECT:

FACTS OF COMPLAINT: Complainant telephonically advised that on CARL

WINER BURG, 157 2557, Hudson Heights, Newcc, 5-975,

office Buligay 494, telephonically informed him that he Kushner was present at a general with Felis Kushner and had

uhen Mr. Robert Kushner had received a letter from

35th street and 1st avenue, and had in his possession a letter from

Mr. Felix Kushner to Kushner, prior to Illinois, Maryland.

Winer, then told complainant that it might be important in

connection with Felish Kushner's statement or notes in our

memory cards.

ACTION RECOMMENDED BY AGENT:

Note:

Special Agent

F. B. I.

JAN 6 1949
N. Y. C.

[Handwritten Signature]
January 6, 1949

Reference is made to the attached complaint form of SA Lawrence D. Talbot, 1-5-49.

I contacted Chief of Police Edward Morlock, Lindenhurst, Long Island, 600, and he had no additional information to furnish. He also stated that he had no objection to our directly contacting CARL WINTERBOTTO, who I immediately phoned at Babylon 444.

"r. WINTERBOTTO" advised that the INSILER'ANS had at one time been tenants in a house owned by "INTERBOTTO'S" mother-in-law, and that in connection with the negotiation for the rental of the house a letter had been written by "rs. INSILER'AN" to "rs. CARL WINTERBOTTO". The letter was written from 13 Fir Drive, Middle River, Maryland, 3-23-42. The letter dealt solely with the rental negotiation. "r. WINTERBOTTO" stated he was calling this information to appropriate authorities because he had read in the paper where INSILER'AN had denied knowing CHAMBERS, and he thought it possible that this letter might be significant if it could place INSILER'AN near the CHAMBERS farm in Maryland in 1942.

"r. WINTERBOTTO" advised that he would be glad to turn this letter over to us.

It is suggested that the letter be obtained from WINTERBOTTO, who can be reached at Babylon 444 during the working day and at Lindenhurst 509 in the evenings.

A. J. TUOHY,
Special Agent
Federal Bureau of Investigation
United States Department of Justice
New York, N.Y.

IN REPLY, PLEASE REFER TO
FILE NO. __________

January 6, 1949

"T'ORAKIAN"

Re: JAY DAVID WHITTAKER CHAMBERS, with aliases
ALGER HISS, et al;
PERJURY
ESPIONAGE - R

He report SA Francis D. O'Brien, 12-30-45.

A check of the records at the Republic Aviation Corporation, Farmingdale, reflected that two references of INSLER'AN are as follows:

Robert Frederic Wedberg
506 Fulton Street
Farmingdale, New York

and

Friedrich August Kueppers
196 Velville Road
Farmingdale, New York

These individuals should be interviewed for any information they have concerning INSLER'AN, bearing in mind the allegations of CHAMBERS set out in reference report.

J. T. HILSBROS,
Special Agent

JTH/RAA
65-14920
At 5:05 pm today, I advised SAC Cornelius of the Albany office that I discussed with Mr. Whearty and Mr. Donegan the matter of the search warrant for INSLEMAN's cameras. I informed Mr. Cornelius that Donegan and Whearty seem to feel that there is going to be considerable difficulty in getting an affidavit based on information INSLEMAN furnished to the Grand Jury. I advised Mr. Cornelius that we suggested to them that INSLEMAN be brought into their office in the morning on a pretense of clearing up a few items; that they ask INSLEMAN the same questions asked of him before the Grand Jury and that an agent be present while this is going on. The agent could then make out the affidavits both in New York and Albany. Mr. Cornelius was informed that Mr. Donegan and Mr. Whearty will do this in the morning; that inasmuch as INSLEMAN has already answered these questions, there is no reason to believe he will refuse to answer them outside of the Grand Jury. At the same time, we are having the same agent talk to WHITTAKER CHAMBERS and have CHAMBERS tell the agent very briefly his story of FELIX and his identification of INSLEMAN, so that the agent can use these facts for the affidavit. I told Mr. Cornelius that the agent will be Frank O'Brien.

Mr. Cornelius suggested that the affidavit and search warrant for the Cambridge house search be drawn up in New York City by Donegan or Whearty. Agent O'Brien can bring them up to Albany where the U. S. Commissioner can issue the search warrant.
607 U. S. Court House  
Foley Square  
New York 7, New York

January 6, 1949

National City Bank of New York  
55 Wall Street  
New York 5, New York

Attention: John C. Macy, Assistant Cashier

Dear Sir:

In connection with an official investigation presently being conducted by this office, it is requested that you make available to Special Agent J. P. Martin all records relative to the account of August J. Inslerman.

It is requested that your depositor not be notified of this inquiry. The information furnished by you will not be disclosed to any unauthorized persons without the use of a subpoena duces tecum directed to your bank.

Your cooperation in this matter is greatly appreciated.

Very truly yours

EDWARD SCHEID  
Special Agent in Charge

JPM: IV  
65-14920  
*Letter later  
*copy back by agent.
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
NEW YORK, N. Y.

RE: JAY DAVID WHITTAKER CHAMBERS, with aliases
ALGER HISS, et al;
PERJURY
ESPIONAGE - R

At 10:50 a.m. today H. B. Fletcher of the Bureau called and advised that the Washington Field Office had determined through the Hooper Homes Bureau that the Home Life Insurance Company of New York City had issued an insurance policy on DONALD HISS. He stated that the Washington Field Office was unable to determine whether it had requested this office to check at the Home Life Insurance Company, but it believed that it had done so. He stated that the Director wanted to know if we had made such a check. I advised him that I did not think so but would check and call him back.

At 11:00 a.m. I advised Mr. Fletcher that we had no record of such a request being received. He stated that we should immediately make a check for typewriting specimens and any other pertinent information at this company re DONALD HISS, and while we are doing it we should also check for ALGER HISS. He requested that he be advised telephonically of the results of these checks.

A. J. TUOHY,
Special Agent

AJT: RAA
65-14920
MEMORANDUM:

Re: JAY DAVID WHITTAKER CHAMBERS, with aliases
ALGER HISS, et al;
PERJURY
ESPIONAGE - R

January 6, 1949

At 3:30 p.m., January 5, 1949, SAC Cornelius advised that he had just been informed by the General Electric Company, Schenectady, that FELIX AUGUST INSLEY, had requested a two months leave of absence. Mr. Cornelius advised that he was furnishing this information to us for whatever value it might have.

I immediately requested Agent O'Brien to advise Mr. Donegan of this. On the morning of January 6, 1949, I was unable to reach Mr. Donegan because of his presence in the Grand Jury room, and requested that his secretary inform him immediately that we had just made a check at the Republic Aircraft, Farmingdale, Long Island, and had ascertained that in 1942 INSLEY had executed a security oath; that we had obtained the original of this oath and it was being brought to the office at the present time; and that the company had requested that a subpoena be issued to it under today's date. This subpoena is to be given to Agent O'Neill today, who is bringing the document to the office.

A. J. TUOHY,
Special Agent

AJT: RAA
65-14920

F. B. I.
JAN 6 1949
N. Y. C.
FBI EL PASO  1-6-49  3-07 PM  JJL

SAC, NEW YORK CITY  URGENT

JAY DAVID WHITTAKER CHAMBERS, WAS, ETAL. PERJURY ESPIONAGE R.

RE CONFERENCE TEL TO DN AND THIS OFFICE. BULLET DATED JAN THREE
LAST REFERRED TO IN TELETYPE NOT RECEIVED BY EP. NY WILL FURNISH
THIS LETTER AS SOON AT WHICH TIME NCCXX NECESSARY INVESTIGATION WILL
BE CONDUCTED.

BROWN

END

OK FBI NYC MAR 0

1/17/49

FBI

65-144920-2

N.Y.C.

2/6/49

Routed to

FILE
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

NEW YORK, N.Y.
JAN 6, 1948

URGENT

Transmit the following Teletype message to: DENVER
EL PASO

JAY DAVID WHITTAKER CHAMBERS, WAS. ETAL. PERJURY ESPIONAGE R. PHOENIX
OFFICE BY TEL JAN FIVE LAST ADVISED FRANKLIN VICTOR RENO LEFT BENSON,
ARIZ. JAN FOUR LAST VIA AUTO WITH PHILIP RENO TO TAKE UP RESIDENCE WITH
BROTHER ON ROUTE FIVE, BOX THREE SEVEN EIGHT A, ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO.
IN VIEW THEREOF, EL PASO WILL QUESTION FRANKLIN VICTOR RENO PURSUANT
TO INSTRUCTIONS ON PAGES FIFTEEN AND SIXTREN OF BULLET DATED JAN THIRD LAST.
SUREP.

SCHIEIDT

JMK: MAR
65-14220

Approved: Special Agent in Charge

Sent 4:58 PM

[Signature]

65-11920-769